MEETING MINUTES MORRISVILLE BOROUGH COUNCIL SPECIAL MEETING

TUESDAY, MARCH 13, 2018 7:30 p.m.

The Morrisville Borough Council met on Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 7:30 p.m. in Council Chambers at the Morrisville Municipal Building, 35 Union Street, Morrisville, PA for a Special Council Meeting.

Ms. Smith stated, welcome everyone to the Morrisville Borough Council Agenda Meeting. It's March 13th, 2018, if you would please all rise just for the pledge of allegiance. Okay, this is basically our work session meeting where we go over some things for the meeting on Monday, add some take some off, whatever the case may be. So welcome everyone.

Mr. Mitchell stated, Madame President —

Ms. Smith replied, yes.

Mr. Mitchell replied, you have two presentations tonight. One is legal —

Ms. Smith replied, okay.

Mr. Mitchell stated, turn it over to the Solicitor, or Assistant Solicitor for that.

Ms. Smith replied, okay.

Mr. Mitchell stated, and the second of which is Keystone Municipal Services.

Ms. Smith replied, okay. Would you like to do those first and then public comment?

Mr. Mitchell replied, yeah, that way they can leave if they wish to.

Ms. Smith stated, okay.

Mr. Mitchell stated, we'll do roll call first.

Ms. Smith stated, okay.

Mr. Mitchell stated, if Virginia doesn't mind.

Ms. Smith stated, okay. Do the roll call first then?

Virginia Cyphers took roll call.

Those in Attendance:

Borough Council: Debbie Smith, President

Danielle Larison, Vice President

Justin Bowers Eileen Dreisbach Corryn Kronnagel Michael Yager

Borough Officials: Scott Mitchell, Interim Borough Manager

Edward Zanine, Solicitor

Virginia Cyphers, Assistant Borough Secretary

Micah Heitz, Finance Director

Not Present: Ted Parker

Sade Ricketts, Junior Councilperson

Ms. Smith asked, any which one you want to start with first, does it matter Scott?

Mr. Mitchell replied, the legal -

Ms. Smith stated, the legal one first.

Mr. Mitchell stated, presentation first if you don't mind.

Ms. Smith replied, okay. Would you like to proceed?

Edward Zanine stated, sure, thank you Madame President. We have Rosemary Pinto from Feldman and Pinto, she'd like to do a short presentation about hiring her firm and another firm. They are doing basically litigation against big pharmacy or big pharma regarding the opioid crisis that they in fact created. I'll leave her to explain that and I'm sure Council will have some questions to ask.

SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS

Rosemary Pinto of Feldman and Pinto gave a presentation about the opioid crisis. Their firm is representing both hospitals and governmental entities to bring lawsuits on their behalf to recover any costs that are related to the opioid crisis. Their fee is a 20% contingent fee, it's only if they win. The Borough would pay nothing unless there was a recovery at the end of the day. She asked if anybody had any questions.

Edward Zanine stated, if I could just jump in a quick second on the 20% fee. That's the lowest I think that's out there, I know some of the other municipalities are paying 25%, I think the City of Philadelphia is paying even higher than that. So, we were able to discuss this with Ms. Pinto before and 20% is a really good fee.

Ms. Pinto stated, we honestly believe that that is a fair fee because — in light of the number of people that we are representing and there are going to be huge damages and honestly I think that those other fees may get reduced down the road to be more inline with what we are requesting —

Mr. Yager asked, how far, I'm sorry, how far back will those fees go? How far back will they be going? How many years, do you know?

Ms. Pinto replied, as far as collecting the damages?

Mr. Yager replied, yes.

Ms. Pinto stated, we will try to go back as far as we can and that will be a judicial determination that will be hard fought, because the defendants will claim there's a time limit, but from our perspective, our argument will be the acts of these defendants did not become well known until recently and this was essentially a coverup for a very long time, decades, so we're going to try to go back as far as we can as well as to develop a profile for the future because your damages aren't going to stop just because we file the lawsuit and recover, because there's still the future. And one other important point of what we want to do in this litigation is not just to get money, we want to stop it, we want to put things in place that make these manufacturers and distributors obey the Federal laws. There have been several lawsuits where they've had to pay a lot of money, but it hasn't stopped them. We want this litigation to put in place a stop. We want them to be responsible, follow the Federal laws, stop the fake marketing and only prescribe these drugs to seriously ill people that have serious pain syndromes when needed, and that's the goal of the litigation.

Mr. Yager stated, thank you.

Ms. Pinto replied, thank you.

Ms. Smith replied, thank you. Okay, our next presentation then would be from Rich from Keystone.

Rich O'Brien of Keystone Municipal Services gave a presentation about the Fee Schedule Evaluation that they prepared for the Borough. He asked if anyone had any questions.

Ms. Smith stated, well that about says it.

Mr. Mitchell stated, Rich — just so you all know, when Rich was in evaluating our internal program, it felt like an IRS audit. But a little context, the Borough is going to be charging slightly more for its permit fees.

Rich O'Brien replied, that's correct.

Mr. Mitchell stated, but netting more then it was under the prior third party provider?

Rich O'Brien replied, absolutely, and with those costs you are covering most of your program costs in the Borough. Previously, under the current fee structure that was provided by the previous third party agency, there really wasn't thought given to covering the internal costs nor developing those internal costs for the Borough. This exercise actually identifies that and hopefully addresses that.

Mr. Mitchell stated, unless anybody in the room knows different, we're not sure this analysis had ever been conducted before.

Ms. Smith replied, no.

Mr. Mitchell stated, it's helpful.

Ms. Smith stated, so basically your internal costs for your administration to process all that, everything else you needed was not being compensated for because no analysis was ever done for that.

Rich O'Brien stated, absolutely not, and again, back to one of those previous slides, it shows how much you were losing with each one of those baseline scenarios.

Mr. Yager stated, I want to thank you for doing it and I want to thank Scott for suggesting it. I think it was \$1,500.00, a good investment. Thank you very much.

Ms. Smith stated, yes.

Mr. Mitchell stated, he already told me he'd never agree to it again for that price.

Rich O'Brien stated, not in 30 days anyway. Costing out all those communities is a time consuming effort.

Ms. Smith stated, I'll bet, I'll bet, but something we definitely needed to help us understand exactly where we stood with all this so thank you very much.

Mr. Bowers stated, I just have one quick question, looking at the fees versus what the estimated construction cost is, my quick math says its about 1.6% of that, is that about ballpark, under 2% for fees of surrounding areas?

Mr. O'Brien stated, I didn't do it on a percentage basis so I don't know. Unfortunately I didn't do a construction cost methodology, I did an hourly cost methodology, but 2% if that's what the number comes out to, that's what it come out to.

Ms. Smith stated, yeah, definitely have to make sure that it's a service that you're doing for the residents as well as anyone that's coming in to do work in your town so you have to cover your costs no matter what, and because it was not ever done we never knew where we stood with that. It's like I said, we were losing money every year because we were not doing the analysis properly so — to know where we stood on what we needed to collect, so thank you. Okay, ready to move on. There's no more presentations, correct?

Mr. Mitchell replied, no more presentations, if you want to —

Ms. Smith stated, okay.

Mr. Mitchell stated, run down the agenda, we can. Oh, I'm sorry, there is one more presentation, I keep forgetting. I'd like to take this opportunity to introduce the Borough's new Finance Director, Micah Heitz, he's from New Jersey but we're trying not to hold that against him. He's only been on the job for about two weeks now, but he dove right in and in fact we've had the auditors here all week this week working on the annual audit so he's been busy with that. We're happy to have him.

Ms. Smith stated, yes, thank you. Welcome aboard. I look forward to working with you. Okay, we're just going to go over some of the things that are going to be for the agenda on Monday night so that we can weigh in on what we need, what we don't. We'll just roll right down, we'll get into our announcements. One of our announcements for Monday night will be 4A which is swearing in of part-time officer Edward Radlow. I don't think there's any comment on that, we've talked about that before. Public comment, oh, I didn't do public comment tonight, oh, I'm so sorry. Before I even get that far — oh, nobody had public comment. I'm sorry, I missed that but in case anybody wanted to, this would be your time. No, we're good, okay.

HEARING OF THE PUBLIC

There being that no one wished to speak, public comment portion was closed.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Ms. Smith stated, after that it would be approval of the minutes, we have January 2nd, 2018, January 16th, 2018, February 13th, 2018. Everyone received all this in their packets, if you've had any issues with it or needed anything done please contact the office if you haven't to make any of those corrections. Anybody have anything to say about them tonight, no, we're good.

Ms. Smith stated, next would be finance, would be our Treasurer's Report. After that would be a motion to approve the bill list and pay the bills. After that would be our reports, would be our Manager's Report. Would you like to talk about that?

REPORTS - ADMINISTRATIVE/MANAGEMENT

Borough Manager's Report - Scott Mitchell - He stated, yeah, I actually have a few things for you tonight.

Ms. Smith replied, okay.

Mr. Mitchell stated, if you're willing to indulge me.

Ms. Smith replied, sure.

Mr. Mitchell stated, first so everybody knows, today was demo day at M. R. Reiter Elementary School despite the weather. Thank you to all who came out, we appreciate it. Full demotion is now underway. As you saw in your folders this evening, the demolition contractor has requested a 5 day extension to his contract timeline due to weather and I'm inclined to accommodate him on that if Council has no objection. And also to let Council members know we have obtained some extra bricks from the school so anybody who wasn't able to attend today, if you would like a brick, feel free to see me after the meeting and we will hook you up with your own brick.

Ms. Smith stated, this — you just need a consensus of the Council, or you need a vote actually to give the extension on this for premium.

Mr. Mitchell stated, we'll vote on it on Monday if you're willing to grant it we will put it on the agenda.

Ms. Smith stated, okay, that's fine.

Mr. Mitchell stated, okay.

Ms. Smith stated, we'll add that on, that will be under —

Mr. Mitchell stated, the next item is, we've distributed a number of resumes to you for the Council vacancy.

Ms. Smith stated, yes.

Mr. Mitchell stated, is it Councils intention to appoint a replacement next week, because we did include that on the agenda assuming so.

Ms. Smith stated, yes, yes we will be.

Mr. Mitchell stated, okay. There's a personnel matter I'd like to discuss with Council and that is, there was an item in your packets about this last week. That is the Crew Leader position in the Public Works Department. If you'll refer to your packet, as I understand it, this was previously negotiated and agreed to and it was budgeted. However, for whatever reason unbeknownst to me, it was never implemented. So, we would like to recommend an hourly rate adjustment for the Crew Leader position to bring it inline with what was negotiated and agreed upon.

Ms. Smith stated, okay. First I'd like to talk about that, I have the original schedule that had what was agreed upon in the collective bargaining and at that particular time in 2015, there wasn't a Crew Leader position put in there. It was done from what I'm getting, from the memo that we got, it was done through — something that a previous manager had done, had sent a letter into the Union to ask to have that added which was an amendment actually to the agreement. I will say though to this point that Council did not get this at that time, I was on Council at the time too, actually formerly voted by the Council. With that said, when it comes down to the amount of money, if you look at what is in the memo as to the paying amount and you look at the schedule that was given to us, it already is at 2018 the first amount. It's at \$25.64.

Mr. Mitchell replied, right.

Ms. Smith stated, so the \$2.00 increase is above what was already then negotiated in 2015 because then you're amending the contract, correct?

Mr. Mitchell replied, yes. There's a contract amendment in here from the union for the \$2.00 increase to \$27.64 an hour.

Ms. Smith asked, where — where was that? Where's that memo at?

Mr. Mitchell replied, this here. It should have been in your packet attached to the letter.

Ms. Smith stated, no, that's the memorandum.

Mr. Mitchell replied, yeah, that's it.

Ms. Smith asked, the memorandum?

Mr. Mitchell replied, yep, the memorandum of understanding. The current one is in there as well as the proposed, and the proposed includes the change that we're discussing.

Ms. Smith asked, and you have a signed one?

Mr. Mitchell stated, I have a signed one of the current one which includes the position at its rate and then I have the unsigned one that we are proposing.

Ms. Smith stated, so you're saying in 2015, the former Manager amended this without the Council and then also gave that increase back then?

Mr. Mitchell replied, I can't speak to the history or what was done or by whom, but according to the documentation available, the position exists, it's in the agreement at the rate noted and we're looking to amend the current agreement and make that adjustment.

Ms. Smith asked, so, that is the signed one, correct? I'm — maybe I'm confused. This is the signed one, right?

Mr. Mitchell replied, yep.

Ms. Smith stated, Isn't that it? Isn't that the amount you're talking about?

Mr. Mitchell replied, right, that's the current amount, right there.

Ms. Smith stated, okay and then where does it say that —

Mr. Yager asked, just for clarification, what you're asking us to do is approve a \$2.00 an hour increase?

Mr. Mitchell replied, correct, for this position.

Ms. Smith asked, and this says to give a \$2.00 an hour increase?

Mr. Mitchell stated, well, that's the current one. You need to find the proposed one which was behind it.

Ms. Smith stated, I don't have a proposed one.

Mr. Mitchell replied, right there. Everything stays the same, it just reflects the previously agreed upon adjustment.

Ms. Smith asked, this person was someone that was just moved up into this position?

Mr. Mitchell replied, as far as I know, this position has existed for a while in some way shape or form and we have someone who's been serving in that capacity.

Ms. Smith replied, I see.

Mr. Mitchell stated, right. So that's the proposed rate.

Ms. Smith asked, and this is the one that we have - you have a signed one of this?

Mr. Mitchell replied, well I don't have a signed one of the proposed one because it hasn't been approved yet.

Ms. Smith stated, oh. Oh, this one is the one that you're giving us —

Mr. Mitchell stated, that's the one we are requesting for approval, yes.

Ms. Smith replied, got it.

Mr. Mitchell stated, this is the number two position in the Public Works department. Crew Leader, Crew Supervisor, however you want to couch it.

Ms. Smith asked, so we're actually doing an amendment to this?

Mr. Mitchell replied, yes.

Ms. Smith asked, it wasn't in here originally, we're doing it for this position?

Mr. Mitchell replied, correct.

Ms. Smith stated, okay, that's where the confusion was. I'm thinking this was already agreed to back then. So this is the amount —

Mr. Mitchell stated, well, I'm told it was previously negotiated and agreed to, it was not implemented and that's what we're looking to do now.

Ms. Smith stated, but there's no proof it was implemented. That's the thing, there's no — there's nothing that that was implemented.

Mr. Mitchell stated, well it wasn't implemented, that's what we're looking to do now is implement it.

Mr. Yager stated, I think she means the contract, the negotiation itself, the agreement to —

Ms. Smith stated, said that that's the amount.

Mr. Yager stated, to add the position, I think that's what she's talking about.

Ms. Smith stated, well, not even that, but the amount that it was being added extra money to, where's that at? Is it me?

Mr. Yager stated, that's this proposal.

Mr. Mitchell stated, in the proposed addendum.

Ms. Smith stated, right, that you want to do now.

Mr. Mitchell replied, yes.

Ms. Smith stated, but there's nothing that says back then when this was changed in 2015 that that was agreed to because you have no documentation that says—

Mr. Mitchell replied, I can't speak to the history of any of it.

Ms. Smith stated, oh.

Mr. Mitchell stated, you guys would probably know better then me.

Ms. Smith stated, this is all I have and I collect everything. I guess it's going to be up to the Council, I personally don't have anything that states that this increase was done. I do know that the Crew Leader position was put in after the vote in 2014 for the contract it was done 6 months ahead of time, It was done I believe in May of 2014 and at that point, this position was not put in there, it was — I think it was actually called, if I look back on the statements just to

make sure everybody understands this, it was called a Public Works Foreman, and then got changed over in 2015 to the Public Works Crew Leader. And like I said, and that was —

Mr. Yager asked, Debbie, was the Foreman union or non-union?

Ms. Smith replied, it was non-union before that.

Mr. Yager replied, okay.

Ms. Smith stated, because it was supervisory that I remember, and I said — then the former Manager put it into the union, but that was the thing, it wasn't asked to be put into the contract at the time it was being voted by the Council so that's my thing with it. And I don't have any documentation that says that okay this was the original and they put it in and the \$2.00 was given as being said it was. So it's going to be up to the Council to decide if they're going to basically do an amendment to that tonight because you're amending a contract that was negotiated, but you have no proof that that was actually put in. You can laterally see the position if you're going to put it in. They obviously put it in even though the Council didn't do the formal signatures that the Manager should have got back then, but at this point when I asked the Manager today if they need that position, he said they do. So it's going to be up to the Council whether you're going to amend it to give the extra \$2.00 that you have no proof that that was actually part of it back then, because this is the approved amount that you got.

Mr. Yager stated, put it on the agenda.

Ms. Smith replied, okay. Alright.

Ms. Dreisbach asked, is that the same pay rate that the workers are getting, or —

Mr. Mitchell replied, no it's —

Ms. Smith stated, no, it will be higher.

Mr. Mitchell stated, it's an escalated rate.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, already higher?

Mr. Mitchell replied, yes, yes.

Ms. Dreisbach asked, and this is going to add two more dollars?

Mr. Mitchell replied, correct.

Ms. Smith stated, right.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, an hour, okay.

Ms. Smith stated, so for clarification for now, this is the whole schedule for every year the contract gets renegotiated for 2019, and for 2018, that Crew Leader would be then at the \$25.64 which is what you got in that memo, so —

Mr. Mitchell stated, that's the current rate.

Ms. Smith stated, right, the current rate. So that you understand, it would be \$2.00 above that current rate that was negotiated on back then because I have nothing else —

Mr. Yager stated, I think what you're saying is, you don't believe that it was actually negotiated, it was just put in.

Ms. Smith stated, I can't say it wasn't, but it was never given to Council.

Mr. Yager stated, gotcha.

Ms. Smith stated, for an actual -

Mr. Yager stated, it was never approved I should say, got it.

Ms. Smith replied, right, right. If it was something internally done, I have no documentation, they obviously don't have documentation for that.

Mr. Mitchell stated, I wasn't here and can't speak to it, but there may have been some steps missed.

Ms. Smith replied, right.

Mr. Yager stated, fair enough.

Ms. Smith stated, okay, so I guess you would put it on the agenda for a vote for that and if the Council wants to give that, I just — you just have to remember that when you start amending contracts that are already negotiated in, it sets a precedents that you are doing that.

Mr. Mitchell stated, okay, we'll include that on the agenda for Monday.

Mr. Bowers stated, I have a question on that. Maybe our Solicitor can chime in. If we decide to increase the pay for one person in the union contract, does that open us up to anything else for the rest of them for grievances or anything?

Edward Zanine replied, it's a little hard to give you an exact answer. I don't have the agreement in front of me, I'd have to see how it was written. It's possible, but it's also not possible, I'd have to really take a look at the language.

Ms. Smith asked, anyone else?

Mr. Mitchell stated, I think since the union is requesting it and advocating for it, I don't think it would become a grievable matter.

Ms. Smith stated, he was saying, as far as anyone else, anyone else who may —

Mr. Mitchell replied, true.

Ms. Smith stated, you know.

Mr. Mitchell replied, true.

Ms. Smith stated, and I guess for other clarification too, maybe Scott you're going to know this, it this managerial?

Mr. Mitchell replied, no.

Ms. Smith stated, no, okay. Alright, we'll I guess we'll put it on and the Council will have to make a decision whether they want to approve the \$2.00 increase, and then the position obviously was put in there if they want to change that they'll have to do it during negotiation time. It's a shame it wasn't done right in the first place. Is there anyone else with that? Okay.

Mr. Mitchell stated, alright, the next item I have for you then is Morrisville Little League. Jim Shaffer is here with us Ladies and Gentleman. If I could turn your attention to our documents that have been going back and forth on Morrisville Little League, the last proposal that was put forward included two items that I think we would like to speak about tonight and that is the \$3,000 annual reimbursement to the Borough for electricity. Jim will speak to this in more detail, but apparently the Little League is already paying about 12 or 13 thousand dollars a year towards electricity costs at the park, so we were looking to eliminate the \$3,000.00 reimbursement from our wish list here and the other is the effort to repurpose current fields that have permanent fixtures, backstops or fencing for baseball into multipurpose fields. Of course it's a little late perhaps for this season and there's a time and cost involved in doing so we wanted to find out from Council if you would agree to allow that to be phased in over the course of year two of this multi-year agreement. Other than that, the document you have in front of you with the bullet points pretty much remains where we are at this point, so —

Mr. Yager stated, Scott -

Mr. Mitchell replied, yes.

Mr. Yager asked, are you talking about the end of this season beginning of before next season starts or are we now already into the second season with the fixtures still in?

Mr. Mitchell stated, well, since he's already at the hot seat, Jim, take it away.

Jim Schaffer stated, thank you. I appreciate the opportunity. First, if I may, the matter of the PECO bills that Scott alluded to. We currently incur three separate bills monthly which actually come from the Borough. They are addressed to the Borough and then sent to the league and we pay them on a monthly basis for three different lighted fields, and last year our complete total was about \$10,600.00 was the added up total, and I brought the actual bills if you've not seen them or are familiar with them, they actually come from the Borough, that we pay them. If there was anything additional that we were responsible for, we can certainly talk about that but in conversations that I've had with Scott, he did not feel that it was globally understood amongst Council the bills that we incurred from PECO, so I wanted to make sure that that was clearly understood and that we do currently pay quite a large number of bills because of the energy that we consume there. Secondly, the increase that was proposed or counter proposed if I will, if you may — the original fee that we had hoped for was \$5,000.00 a year and the counterproposal was slated at \$7,000.00 per year. In removing any type of permanent fixture on a T-ball field, for me to purchase a temporary fixture to use for a two, two and a half month stretch of time is going to cause me to incur some expense, so the expense of the

temporary fixture to replace the permanent one is what I'm asking for you to consider reducing that \$7,000 to keep it at \$5,000. That's what I'm asking, because of the need to purchase and replace those — that's really where I'm at in looking at our budget and looking at the monies that we've already paid in arrears for this year and moving forward, that's going to give us the best ability to work together on that. Again, the temporary backstop for me will probably cost around \$4,000.00, so I can't put that kind of money out and pay the additional cost to you at the same time. That's what I'm asking, so —

Mr. Mitchell stated, so I think to sum up where we are is the current pageable points in front of you, if we drop the \$3,000.00 annual reimbursement for electricity in light of what they're already reimbursing us and if we're willing to allow the phase in of field conversion from permanent to mobile because of time and cost and perhaps adjust the annual lump sum permanent fee in light of that is where we stand at the present time.

Mr. Yager stated, I mean you can put that up for vote, but I don't think that you guys have the votes to make that happen. I honestly don't think you are going to be able to get that passed.

Mr. Schaffer asked, can I ask why?

Ms. Dreisbach asked, can I say —

Mr. Yager stated, because we provided an alternative that was already so far reduced from what the rules were that you just don't have the support for it. You just don't. Because at this point, I think we've given a very good alternative. I think we had a consensus that it was a really good alternative for you. We don't want you going anywhere but you have to follow the rules, right? And they've been implemented for a long enough time and not followed that we're kind of in a position where we're asking you to follow the rules, we're providing you rules that we feel are completely within reason and we're right back kind of to square one. Because it's still, hey, this is what we offered you, take it. You're asking please, you're asking nicely by the way and I appreciate that, but the key is we've already set this out, we've already updated it several times for you and we're asking you to follow the rules because these are the ones that are being out there for you.

Mr. Schaffer stated, you refer to them as rules.

Mr. Yager replied, yes.

Mr. Schaffer stated, okay. I refer to it as an agreement. I was hoping it was something that we would agree to.

Mr. Yager stated, so when the Borough sets fees for anything, right, you're creating a value for it. So if there's a per annum cost for you to use those fields and we break that down, in good conscience can't charge anybody else who's looking to use the park one penny more than what Morrisville Little League is going to pay. So you're asking us to set the bar extremely low for any use of that park.

Mr. Schaffer stated, so -

Mr. Yager stated, so it is a rule.

Mr. Schaffer replied, I understand what you're trying to say and I guess from where I sit, the — we provide a little bit more than I guess a standard tenant would as far as maintaining the fields there's a lot of repairs I could show you, a lot of the things that I still need to do there as far as fence repairs as far as planting grass, as far as dirt, seed, stone, that's a cost and if I was just a tenant and saying okay I'll pay you your fee, well then you maintain the field.

Mr. Yager stated, the fee would be much higher then. But yes, we actually — you and I spoke about that remember, when we sat down and had a conversation, it was about a year and a half almost two years ago now and I said maybe that's an option. I don't know that you want that option, but sure that could be an option if that's what you're looking to do.

Ms. Kronnagel stated, can I just get some clarification, I apologize, I'm not sure what Mr. Yager is referring to. You're referring to the offer or the counteroffer?

Mr. Mitchell replied, the counter.

Mr. Yager replied, the counter.

Ms. Kronnagel stated, because all he's asking for is the removal of the \$3,000.00.

Mr. Yager stated, there was three things he asked for just now.

Mr. Mitchell stated, we're talking about the removal of \$3,000.00, phasing in the conversion of fields from exclusive baseball to multi-purpose and then light of that granting relief on the lump sum annual permit fee from \$7,000 to \$5,000, which they would put that savings towards the cost of converting those fields.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, Madame Chairman —

Mr. Mitchell asked, is that accurately —

Mr. Schaffer replied, I think so. That's what I'm asking.

Ms. Dreisbach asked, Madame Chairman, may I speak?

Ms. Smith replied, sure, yes.

Ms. Driesbach stated, the \$10,600.00 that you pay, what exactly is that for?

Mr. Schaffer replied, that's for the lights on the large field, for the softball field and for the major field. The three lighted fields.

Ms. Dreisbach asked, and who uses the lights?

Mr. Schaffer asked, pardon me?

Ms. Dreisbach asked, who uses the lights?

Mr. Schaffer replied, we do.

Ms. Dreisbach asked, so why should the residents be paying for them?

Mr. Shaffer replied, I'm not asking the residents to pay for them.

Ms. Dreisbach replied, no, but do you know what the other fees are for, the \$3,000 that they're asking for?

Mr. Schaffer replied, no.

Ms. Dreisbach replied, your clubhouse. The Borough pays that.

Ms. Smith stated, yeah, for part of that.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, originally there was an agreement where the Borough would pay up to \$1,500.00 a year.

Mr. Schaffer replied, okay.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, it well exceeds that now. The Borough is still paying that. I don't think the residents should be paying to operate the clubhouse.

Mr. Schaffer replied, fair enough, but I would like to see what that —

Ms. Dreisbach stated, I mean the residents don't use the clubhouse.

Mr. Schaffer replied, I understand that, but what I'm saying is, the \$3,000.00 that Mr. Mitchell had said they wanted us to pay for, I didn't know what that bill was for —

Ms. Dreisbach (inaudible)

Mr. Schaffer stated, because we already pay —

Ms. Dreisbach stated, (inaudible)

Mr. Schaffer stated, like I said, we already pay three different bill.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, (inaudible) to the lights.

Mr. Schaffer stated, okay, but I don't need to know if my clubhouse lights are tied in with my major field or my —

Ms. Dreisbach stated, they're separate.

Ms. Smith replied, no, they are separate.

Ms. Driesbach stated, it's a separate bill that we get every month.

Mr. Schaffer replied, okay.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, and also as far as the multi-purpose fields, they were originally multi-purpose fields, I know you're new and you don't know what the original agreement was I imagine, but I was on Council, and I was also on the recreational advisory board. They were to be multi-purpose fields for anyone that wants to use them with nothing permanent put in the fields. So, I don't think that the Borough should have to reduce the fee, yearly fee to get you to

remove the backstops that shouldn't be there in the first place, that's my opinion. So, that's all I have to say on it right now.

Mr. Schaffer replied, okay.

Ms. Smith asked, if I could ask — the ones you're talking about taking down for the temporary for t-ball that's in front of the stage area, is that correct?

Mr. Schaffer replied, yes.

Ms. Smith stated, so those two fields you want to take down those permanent ones and that's what you want the saving for?

Mr. Schaffer replied, we want to be able to use it temporary as was requested.

Ms. Smith stated, in that area, the multi-purpose area that — in that agreement that Ms. Dreisbach is talking about back then the t-ball was supposed to be moved to that area which never happened and you now have transition teams there so you have fields that are there. That area also is going to have backstops and stuff to come down because that is a multipurpose area. With the improvements down the road, we said yeah, you can take those backstops down and you can use that area, when it comes to the plans, it's not going to stop the plans for what's going to be developed in Williamson Park, so just to let you know that at some point because our Engineer is working on some of those plans, wherever that ends up being, it could end up being part of that so I wouldn't look that to be down the road years from now, a permanent area for that. That's what we're saying, we always wanted it as multipurpose. I think the Council has been really fair as far as you're still using those same areas, but no-one else can use them with those same backstops and stuff up. I think that was actually good of us to say we'll let you still use it, but that other equipment has to come down. The fee, same thing, we started way up here and managed to get us all the way down here four years later and I understand you have bills and so do we. The \$7,000 and lower the cost for you to take down those areas that you put those things up for, when we're paying the cost for some of that electric, we're basically — it's for free you're there. So at the end of the day, that's exactly what happened, you wanted it free and it's free. I think we're being more than generous then when it comes to the fields, we can't be giving it away for nothing and still paying a bill for it, still cost us and also lose the land use. So, Council can make a decision on this, we've gone back and forth and back and forth, there's been problems on both sides as far as who was walking away from the table, this happened last year and walked away and no discussion was coming until fall time and here we are again, right before the season and we're back and forth, back and forth. The last time, this last agreement came before it was changed again from what I understand from the Manager it changed three times the night we were having our meeting. That's really unfair to the Council to hand it to them that night and expect us to then okay, make a decision about that so that's why I had personally that night said it has to go to the Council to at least take a look at this. So if that's what you're asking on here, it can go before the Council for what you want and see where they go with it, but I believe that this was the last. When I last got this I thought, okay, this is it, finally made it, make a decision and now we're — well wait a minute pencil that in a little bit different and I think you've got the Council up to here now, up to here, you're pushing the envelope, seriously.

Mr. Schaffer replied, as I said to you in October, I want very much to work with Council, I want very much want to have open dialog, I feel as though I've been coming to you in good faith and been pretty much open to everything that you've suggested and I'm asking for some leniency.

Ms. Smith asked, can you admit that we've lowered the bar for ourselves to help you out. I mean from where we (inaudible) got that —

Mr. Schaffer stated, I understand, but I've also counter proposed that other municipalities don't charge their sports leagues anything. There's other situations that aren't common, that don't mirror what is being proposed here, so that's been the point of contention for years and I understand and I'm trying to work with something that I hope is fair and sustainable for a long long time. I'm just trying to do the best I can.

Ms. Smith stated, we could argue about this, yeah, we could — I won't say argue, we could agree to disagree about the other towns and they're great and they've got sports areas and they have separate public parks. This is our public park that's turned into a sports venue and as we're trying to give some of it — and we had this conversation, you and I personally four years ago when I came and sat with your Board and tried talking back then and said, what about the town. We can all work together but it doesn't seem to be that way, it's kind of like well, as long as we have what we want — so, we're trying.

Mr. Schaffer stated, and I'm trying as well.

Ms. Smith replied, yeah. So, some of this area — I mean, the Council could have gone that's it. We're trying not to do that. We don't want to do that, we want to work with the league, but there's got to be (inaudible) somewhere, I think we've been more than fair with this so as far as the land use, we're letting you play there, that stuff has to come down, it has to be able to be used for other things. If the Council wants to lower that amount of money it's going to be up to everybody at a vote to do that, we've lowered it again and again and basically we're putting a lot of money out of our pocket and you're going to be there for free utilizing a good percent of that park.

Mr. Schaffer replied, I wouldn't say we're doing it for free, but -

Ms. Smith stated, well it would be if it's lower to five and then we still pay the electric bill. It's a wash is basically what that is.

Mr. Schaffer asked, if there is electric that — to Councilpersons point, if it's electric that I'm responsible for then I agree with you, I should pay for it, but at the time it wasn't explained to me exactly what that bill was for.

Ms. Smith replied, right.

Mr. Schaffer stated, so I said I'm already paying a \$10,000 bill —

Ms. Smith replied, right.

Mr. Schaffer stated, what's the additional \$3,000 for?

Ms. Smith replied, and that's fair, because these agreements were done years ago, I have letters from way back 9 years ago when it was talked about the electric when the bill was running real high and Council had turned it over to baseball at the time and said we can't pay this, the bill was running thousands of dollars and I said oh, we can't pay this. And then it went back and forth back then with one of the coaches and then it was negotiated to say okay we'll pay part of that for that, we'll pay this because you're going to pay for the lights that was done by a grant and that's what you guys are paying, they are separately metered for that and we've been paying this but at the time it was more than 50% of our kids and so we could justify that the rest was sweat equity, it is not anymore, and we've gone back and forth about that.

Mr. Schaffer replied, I know, and the 50% thing is decades old.

Ms. Smith replied, right. Yeah, well Lower Makefield was 80% I believe it still is, so —

Mr. Schaffer replied, oh it is, it is, but I'm saying when our charter was created —

Ms. Smith stated, correct.

Mr. Schaffer stated, everything north of Ferry Road was farm.

Ms. Smith stated, correct. But you have to admit that that's fair. That's where that's coming from because it's no longer the taxpayers that benefit the most from it. It's, it's — that's just the way it is, but that's for another day, we could like I said disagree —

Mr. Schaffer stated, I understand.

Ms. Smith stated, about this for how long.

Mr. Schaffer replied, I know.

Ms. Smith stated, we need to come to an agreement with this because you were like right there when it comes to starting this season.

Mr. Shaffer replied, I know that, I know that —

Ms. Smith stated, okay.

Mr. Schaffer replied, that's why I look so calm and collected.

Mr. Mitchell stated, and 2020 is right around the corner, there will be plenty of time between now and then to revisit some of these terms.

Ms. Smith stated, one of the small things I am going to say about this agreement myself when I looked it over when it says no structures or fixtures of a permanent nature will be installed on the Borough property without pre-approval, I want it to also state by Borough Manager and Council, because there was issues where it was just given permission from —

Mr. Schaffer stated, I believe it was our original proposal to say that we should meet at least yearly if not bi-annually —

Ms. Smith stated, correct, right.

Mr. Schaffer stated, that was our original proposal. I recognized that from day one that the biggest problem of all was that there was no communication and I feel as though we're off to a much better start here and I want that to continue, but I'm trying to set myself up for success financially as well. The numbers are down I know that and I've shared that as well, so I just, I don't want to put myself in a position where I'm not able to provide any fees.

Ms. Smith replied, that's a two way street.

Mr. Schaffer replied, I know, I know that.

Ms. Smith stated, we have to be able to provide something for the taxpayers in that major park that we have there.

Mr. Schaffer replied, understood.

Ms. Smith stated, let me ask you something hypothetical.

Mr. Schaffer replied, sure.

Ms. Smith stated, at this point, because we don't have all of our plans down for there.

Mr. Schaffer replied, and there's no public comment tonight about that.

Ms. Smith stated, I'm just going to ask you since we're going back and forth. When it comes to developing that park we're going to do our best to maneuver around some of the things that we want to put in there for the taxpayers also so that this can be some kind of a partnership, are you going to be prepared if we have to come through some of those areas and reconfigure some of those things?

Mr. Schaffer replied, I shutter to think about it, I do. I mean we struggle now for practice fields, we try to utilize every space we can in any open space in the area. We try to use the high school where we can we try to use everything we can. Space is at a premium and it's precious, especially for the practice. Games you can usually schedule them and stack them up and make them happen but when kids want to practice and learn which is one of the greatest things about our league is that we develop players and they enjoy it and we give ample time for practice. That's where we're — it's tough, and so yeah, it does give me pause and it does scare me. I want the park to look great, trust me. More people want to come and play in our league when the park looks great and I know that's something important to both of us. But yeah, if you're going to tear up a couple of fields for a walking trail, yeah it scares the heck out of me, it really does. And I think, you know I guess in fairness the guestion I would ask you and I would ask Council is when you - I feel you're representing the people of the Borough, is there any type of survey to say how many people in the Borough want the league in the park to remain as it is? Not that it's not beautified, but that the fields are the way they are, that there's enough fields for kids to participate. How many people in the Borough would say, you know what, whatever fields are there now we're fine with, you know, and you can beautiful the park around the fields that exist now so that we can both get what we want. I'm willing to take down backstops for t-ballers because I can, I think it's something that's doable without jeopardizing the quality of the product that we have to offer to people who come and

join the League. That I think is fair and equitable, but if you were to take away one of our major fields, that's going to be tough, that's going to be a tough thing.

Ms. Smith asked, t-ball is a major field?

Mr. Schaffer replied, no, no, no, if you were to renovate one of our major fields, one of the larger fields in our complex, that would be extremely detrimental.

Ms. Smith replied, well we don't anticipate coming down through your babe ruth league, because — that's what you're asking.

Mr. Schaffer replied, that's good, that's a start.

Ms. Smith stated, but that was the idea of back then years ago making sure that a certain amount of that multi-purpose area state multi-purpose for that reason.

Mr. Schaffer stated, but again, do you feel as though that you represent the majority of residents in the Borough?

Ms. Smith replied, I represent them all.

Mr. Schaffer stated, with your plan. But what I'm saying if you had a survey, we went door to door and we asked everybody hey, would you like this or this, what would they choose? I'd love to know.

Ms. Smith replied, okay.

Ms. Larison stated, we actually did the survey.

Ms. Smith asked, we did a survey?

Ms. Larison replied yeah.

Ms. Smith asked, and?

Mr. Bowers stated, the County survey.

Ms. Larison stated, the County survey.

Ms. Smith stated, well, the County definitely surveyed, yeah, I forgot all about that. Yeah, we actually had an open house and I don't know if you attended, anybody from baseball attended that, it was actually pretty interesting. We allowed all the residents and business owners to come here with the pictures of what the park could look like as part of the revitalization plans for the town and they loved it, they loved the idea of having recreation connected into their downtown, southend able to use it for the first time now because it doesn't have water down there. Paid that grant that we had gotten to get that finally fixed and to do something down there. It looks nice when you are entering into town, we finally did that part. When the County came and did that analysis and the survey was taken from everyone, not us, whoever filled out those surveys, and we even did those surveys, left them at the Borough Hall and sent them out

even online to anybody else that wanted to sign up and send it to the County on what they wanted, what was their top priority they wanted in the renovations.

Mr. Schaffer replied, right.

Ms. Smith stated, recreation in the park connected to the downtown was one of them.

Mr. Schaffer replied, okay.

Ms. Smith stated, we didn't do that, they did that. And they collected all that data and four months later brought that back and then they took that and redid the analysis and came back with that last presentation that they did. So yeah, Ms. Larison's right, we did do that part for that reason also because, the County came in and took several months of doing an analysis walking down on the dike going through the canal area, our downtown where we would do these revitalizations and one of the things that today compared to years ago was people do more recreational so you see a lot more of the trails and we are part of that trail system that's being developed through all the other towns and they start to connect. So it's a big deal and the County noticed it too and when we asked people and I even mention it just in passing would you like to be able to have a trail to walk around and they say yeah, I go to Falls, I don't have a place to do that here. So when you ask them about connecting that into the park they think it's a great idea, it doesn't have anything to do with saying that they want you to leave, they're just saying how about us.

Mr. Schaffer replied, right.

Ms. Smith stated, we're not saying we want to take you all out and put up a waterslide and all that kind of stuff, that's not what we're talking about.

Mr. Schaffer replied, right.

Ms. Smith stated, but we've got, and we've talked about it before, this much to use and you guys got this much to use. I think we've been more than fair, more than fair. So all I'm saying personally for myself is that we've been going back and forth with this for four years and my personal stance has not changed on it. I love you guys. I think you do a great job with the kids. My own grandkids play sports, they play baseball, but the park is the only major park that we have and we are attempting to do renovations for the taxpayers also, so we've got to find that partnership here because right now you're utilizing a good part of that and that's where this contentiousness is coming from and it's not going to go away.

Mr. Schaffer replied, I know that, and we don't intend to fold up shop and go away either, we want to be part of the community and we want to continue to provide what we've always provided and we want to take care of the park like we always have and we take a lot of pride in all those fields. We work hard on them and there's a lot of tradition — people before me, and I understand, my responsibility is to them as well. I represent the members of our league and I want to make sure that we're providing the type of product that they want and I want to continue to try to attract more people to come into town and to play. So it's something that I have to sit down with my Board and make sure that we can continue to do that and that's why I asked for leniency there because we just cut a check for \$10,000.00 and I'm gonna have to cut

another check and I'm going to have to look for to spend money on those backstops, so there's things I've got to do that's why I asked for leniency.

Mr. Mitchell asked, is Madame President, there's sufficient support to advance this to Monday nights agenda?

Ms. Smith replied, yes if that's what they're — are you going to adjust this for what they're —

Mr. Mitchell replied, yeah, I will revise this and recirculate this in your meeting packet if you're in agreement.

Ms. Smith replied, yes. Everyone?

Ms. Dreisbach stated, one question.

Ms. Smith stated, okay.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, you said you want to wait until next year for the backstops, does that mean after the season next year or in the spring before the season starts?

Mr. Schaffer replied, there's one backstop that's directly in front of the stage.

Ms. Dreisbach replied, one that's by the old snack shop?

Mr. Schaffer replied, no, not in front of the snack bar, the one in front of the stage.

Ms. Dreisbach replied, yeah, yeah.

Mr. Schaffer stated, I had said to Scott earlier, I said that one I felt could go when you wanted it to.

Mr. Mitchell stated, yeah, actually Public Works is already going to remove that in June.

Mr. Schaffer replied, okay.

Ms. Larison asked, so you just want the backstop that's in front of the smaller snack shop, snack stand, sorry, to wait until this season is over, is that what you're asking?

Mr. Schaffer replied, well no, the —

Ms. Dreisbach stated, and the two along Delmorr.

Mr. Schaffer stated, no, the backstop in front of the stage —

Ms. Driesbach stated, that's gone.

Mr. Schaffer stated, Scott said he's going to take it down in June.

Ms. Larison replied, okay.

Mr. Schaffer stated, so that leaves me with one permanent one in front of the snack bar.

Ms. Larison stated, right.

Mr. Schaffer stated, okay, and then the one over on Delmorr. So if I take the one down on Delmorr, the one that was near the playground if you will, I'm trying to give it a good name.

Ms. Smith stated, there's two down there.

Mr. Mitchell stated, we are going to number these fields eventually.

Mr. Schaffer stated, I understand.

Mr. Mitchell stated, this drives me crazy.

Mr. Schaffer stated, well I know what they are. If I said t-ball three you guys wouldn't know what I was talking about, that's why I'm saying — t-ball one, two and three are. So t-balls one and two are the two in front of the stage area. So those two, I feel like I could live without one of them, it's not going to come down until after June anyway so I can use it for this season because t-ball is finished by June anyway. I could get, those two could get at the end of this year.

Ms. Larison asked, t-ball one and two?

Mr. Schaffer replied, right. And then I can over in the off-season purchase a temporary one for next year to use in that area.

Ms. Larison asked, you're requesting to extend t-ball three to finish out this season

Mr. Schaffer replied, no next year.

Ms. Larison asked, 2019?

Mr. Schaffer replied, yes, yes.

Ms. Larison asked, so you're asking for it not to come down until the end of 2019 which would be June 2019?

Mr. Schaffer replied, correct.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, how about the ones on Delmorr?

Mr. Schaffer stated, because I'm going to have to buy, basically I need at least two t-ball fields, so I would need two temporary backstops. So one I could buy, it depends on where my funds are in the fall perhaps and then I'd have to try and buy another one next year in 2019.

Ms. Larison asked, and then what about the one that was recently put up by — diagonally from t-ball three, that field?

Mr. Schaffer replied, I'd like to talk about that one a little bit more if we could.

Ms. Smith stated, I don't know which one you are talking about.

Ms. Larison stated, that's the one that was put up I think two years ago.

Mr. Schaffer replied, no, it's been up like 8 years.

Ms. Larison stated, no, not the —

Mr. Schaffer asked, the one down by softball, the transition field?

Ms. Larison replied, by the transition field across from the tennis courts.

Mr. Schaffer stated, right, that's the transition field.

Ms. Smith stated, they didn't do t-ball there, they never moved it. They made it transition there, they were supposed to move that there t-ball, they didn't. So that's what we're talking about, that's what's confusing.

Mr. Schaffer stated, as long as I've been in the league, which is 9 years now, that fields always been transition. That's always been the transition field that I know of.

Ms. Smith asked, and I said that's when it wasn't followed through, because it was 9 years ago that was done.

Ms. Larison stated, but that was the softball, I thought that one was the softball.

Mr. Schaffer stated, softball girls sometimes play there too, but there's the softball field that has lights.

Ms. Smith stated, that was the only softball field.

Mr. Schaffer stated, and then there's the small one there that's the small softball field, well softball girls use it, it also — transition boys.

Ms. Larison asked, that's the one right in front of the tennis courts?

Mr. Schaffer replied, correct.

Ms. Larison stated, and the basketball courts, right?

Mr. Schaffer replied, right.

Ms. Larison stated, that's softball, I mean —

Mr. Schaffer replied, it's transition.

Ms. Larison stated, transition.

Mr. Schaffer replied, yeah.

Ms. Larison stated, okay.

Mr. Schaffer stated, but girls and boys play there. They can play softball there, they can play baseball there.

Ms. Smith asked, so you're saying that you are not taking down the backstops along that whole transition area? You're now going to keep a developed field that was supposed to be there that's across from the girls softball, that one on the end?

Ms. Larison stated, no.

Ms. Smith asked, is that what you mean?

Ms. Larison stated, no, I think we're talking about two different fields.

Mr. Schaffer stated, I wish we had a map.

Ms. Larison stated, I think so. I'm talking about the one that everybody when it snows goes down that hill. Those two fields right there. There's the transition field in front of —

Ms. Smith stated, Imagination Island.

Ms. Larison stated, Imagination Island, and then there's another one diagonal from that.

Ms. Smith stated, on the other end, the one that's developed with the fencing around it, towards the parking lot for the girls.

Ms. Larison stated, yeah but then I thought you we're talking about the one that's by the girls softball.

Ms. Smith stated, there's another one?

Mr. Schaffer replied, no.

Ms. Larison asked, is there —

Mr. Mitchell stated, hey John, can you cut the air, or I'm going to lose some Council members to frostbite.

Ms. Smith stated, I didn't know there was another one.

Ms. Larison stated, yeah, can I see —

Mr. Schaffer replied, there isn't.

Ms. Larison stated, that's why I'm confused, I'm sorry.

Baseball Representative stated, if I may, I have an arial shot I can show you.

Ms. Larison stated, okay.

Ms. Smith stated, wow.

Ms. Larison stated, I think I'm getting my fields confused.

Mr. Mitchell stated, this one doesn't seem to —

Ms. Smith stated, yeah, this is —

Mr. Mitchell stated, turn off. Oh is it?

Ms. Smith stated, yes, I'm saying —

Ms. Larison stated, I may be confused, that's why —

Mr. Mitchell stated, I thought it might be the one over by the door that's on.

Ms. Larison stated, I need my glasses for this.

Baseball Representative stated, this is the softball field, the softball field is fully fenced in on all sides — the outfield. This is the parking lot on the side of the softball field.

Ms. Smith replied, right.

Baseball Representative stated, these are the tennis and basketball courts here. This is what we refer to as the transition field —

Ms. Larison stated, that's where I was getting — okay.

Baseball Representative stated, it consists of a backstop, a fence extended slightly down past first base and slightly down past third base (inaudible) balls into the parking lot or into the basketball courts, its consists of no outfield fence, this is entirely open space. This is what we call t-ball three. T-ball three consists of a backstop only, it butts to what used to be the playground here and this is what we refer to as the majors field. You can see the t-ball one and two fields on the Northside of the park. T-ball one by the stage field is closest to the pavilion. And t-ball two is the side of the snack stand which is closest to our large, we call the big field, full size baseball field.

Ms. Larison sated, then I was confused, I'm sorry.

Baseball Representative stated, no problem.

Ms. Larison stated, I added an extra field, I'm sorry —

Ms. Smith stated, so the transition field you're talking about and that whole grass area right there is that multi-purpose area, there was not supposed to be any defined fields there at all.

Baseball Representative (inaudible)

Ms. Smith stated, I'm sorry.

Baseball Representative (inaudible)

Ms. Smith stated, those backstops were all supposed to come down, that's what we're talking about, that area we want it cleared.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, open.

Baseball Representative stated, I understand but my point is —

Ms. Smith asked, is that what you mean?

Baseball Representative stated, backstop on that transition field there, it literally runs three feet, maybe ten feet at the most off the parking lot here. Three feet, maybe ten feet at the most off the path of the pathway that runs —

Ms. Smith replied, I get it.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, still.

Ms. Smith stated, but you get it was never ever supposed to be defined field there, right?

Baseball Representative stated, I wasn't here.

Ms. Smith stated, okay. That's that's what I was saying.

Baseball Representative stated, yeah I apologize I haven't seen any documents that —

Ms. Dreisbach stated, you have it, you have it.

Ms. Smith stated, yeah, we've given documentation on it, yeah.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, we'll give you a copy of it, because they were approved as two —

Ms. Smith stated, right.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, multi-purpose open fields. Nothing was to be put in either one of those fields.

Mr. Mitchell stated, this was before our time.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, yes, she has them.

Ms. Smith stated, because that's the part that everybody is talking about.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, what we're talking about along Delmorr Avenue.

Mr. Schaffer stated, so let me ask you this —

Ms. Dreisbach stated, open space.

Mr. Schaffer stated, so now that you know in the back north corner you have t-ball one, t-ball two, and then t-ball three is the field that is basically next to the playground, so everybody understand where they are.

Ms. Smith stated, right.

Mr. Schaffer stated, okay. So, if those three backstops were removed, if they were removed, would Council agree to let us keep backstop on the transition field?

Ms. Smith replied, not me. They can do what they want. Not me. We asked for that area to be opened.

Mr. Schaffer stated, so you want me to tear down four fields?

Ms. Smith stated, my opinion on that, that was not supposed to be fields there.

Mr. Schaffer replied, I understand that. My question tonight is, do you want me to tear down four fields?

Ms. Smith stated, we weren't going to spend paying our attorney to be here for four hours doing this again.

Mr. Schaffer stated, I understand, I'm just trying —

Ms. Smith stated, and not to be mean or anything, but it cost us a lot for this we've been going back and forth for years about this. In order for us to develop, where do you want us to develop? I mean we've got that little bit of area, the pool area and sharing a part in front of the stage, that's it. That's what we've got. So if we were going to put anything down there, that's what I'm saying and if that stays up there at the time we're doing plans and it's in the way, that vehicle will come through, if that's what you're proposing is to still keep that part. Because that was why that was left as open space area. You call that open space, it's not, you've got a defined field in there, how's anybody going to use that? Maybe I had it wrong from what I thought this was going to be, but that's just my opinion, you've got the whole Council they may vote it for you.

Mr. Mitchell stated, so with the revisions noted, is there sufficient support to advance this to Monday nights agenda?

Ms. Smith replied, yeah.

Mr. Yager asked, which revisions are we talking about?

Mr. Mitchell replied, the three that we talked about.

Ms. Smith stated, okay, which is the money end they want lowered, they want the \$3,000 taken off for their reimbursement.

Mr. Mitchell replied, right.

Ms. Smith stated, correct. That's two. And what was the third one?

Mr. Mitchell stated, that we would phase in the conversion of the fields —

Ms. Smith stated, excluding that transitional one.

Mr. Schaffer stated, Scott, if we asked for the reduction for the three years okay, but if the PECO bill belongs to us, leave it on there.

Mr. Mitchell stated, well, I believe I heard that the deal was we we're going to split it so —

Mr. Schaffer stated, whatever — but I'm just saying to the Council and I appreciate your time, I'm just saying —

Mr. Mitchell stated, I'm happy to take more of your money.

Mr. Schaffer stated, I'm just saying if you're going to split it, that's fine, I'm happy to split it. But I'm saying is, if you're looking to remove it all together and if that breaks our deal, then leave it on.

Ms. Larison asked, so back then, what was the amount that the Borough would pay — was paying towards the concession stand, that large concession stand? What was the fee or the amount of money that the Borough was paying as part of the large concession stand?

Mr. Schaffer asked, you mean for the electric?

Ms. Larison stated, for the electric I mean.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, it's all year.

Ms. Larison stated, no, in the original that you had said something —

Ms. Dreisbach stated, that was, I think that was for the old concession stand and the new one and it was a max of \$1,500.

Ms. Larison stated, so the Borough paid up to a max of \$1,500.00 for —

Ms Dreisbach stated, but it's not been, we've been paying it all.

Ms. Larison stated, no, right. But a max of \$1,500 for both concession stands?

Ms. Dreisbach replied, if it was over they pay it.

Ms. Larison asked, the small one and the large one and then baseball was supposed to pay the rest?

Ms. Dreisbach replied, the difference.

Ms. Larison stated, okay.

Mr. Schaffer stated, then do that.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, I don't have a problem with that, but I don't want to change everything else.

Ms. Smith asked, so you want to split the \$3,000, \$1,500 a piece? We're going to pay \$1,500 they're going to pay \$1,500.00.

Mr. Mitchell stated, well she's saying that was the original —

Mr. Schaffer asked, what's that? Yeah, just forward it.

Baseball Representative (inaudible)

Ms. Smith asked, I'm sorry.

Baseball Representative stated, if you would forward the bills to us like the other PECO bills, we just didn't have this bill, we didn't know you guys were —

Ms. Dreisbach stated, I believe that's in the budget too.

Mr. Schaffer stated, Steve's been our Treasurer —

Ms. Dreisbach stated, If it's not, then —

Mr. Schaffer stated, for 3 years and he's not incurred that.

Baseball Representative stated, (inaudible) receive the three bills then send them to my house, I pay them, so if there's a fourth bill, just include it with the other three.

Ms. Smith stated, it's up to Council what you want to do with that. We can't keep going back and forth with this. Let's go.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, give us —

Ms. Smith asked, what do you want?

Ms. Dreisbach stated, both proposals. Their new one that they just proposed tonight and the one that we were given with the \$7,000 and vote on it Monday night.

Ms. Smith stated, alright, \$7,000, but what the Manager is asking is, they want this amended, they want this one changed, this last one we just got.

Ms. Dreisbach replied, I know.

Ms. Kronnagel stated, this last one that we just got is counter offer, this was changed. So, I don't — I didn't get anything —

Ms. Smith stated, that's what I'm saying is a third change now.

Ms. Kronnagel stated, well I don't know who — where this second change came from.

Ms. Smith stated, they brought that back.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, they brought us a new one from them.

Ms. Kronnagel asked, was the something that you worked out with the Borough Manager?

Mr. Mitchell replied, I drafted the counter offer.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, so I say we vote on either one. Present two of them and vote for either one.

Mr. Mitchell stated, and shared it with the Little League.

Ms. Smith stated, we're not even dealing with the first one, right? Because it wasn't — you changed it anyway.

Mr. Mitchell replied, correct.

Ms. Smith stated, okay.

Ms. Larison stated, so the first one —

Mr. Mitchell stated, the counter replaces the initial.

Ms. Smith replied, okay. Now this counter, what you're saying it's going to stay as is, but the Manager is asking if Council wants to change any of these things on here that the Little League is now asking to change again.

Ms. Larison stated, so Little League is going to incur the cost of the electricity for both snack shacks?

Mr. Mitchell replied, that's what they just agreed to.

Mr. Schaffer replied, yes.

Mr. Mitchell stated, so now we have two points we're going to change and amend for Monday and that is phasing in the conversion of the fields and reducing the flat fee, permit fee from seven to five.

Ms. Dreisbach asked, so that's what we're voting on?

Mr. Yager stated, he's asking if we have a consensus —

Ms. Dreisbach replied, no, I don't think so.

Mr. Mitchell stated, we're asking if there's a consensus to support that.

Ms. Dreisbach replied, no.

Ms. Larison asked, and this will be voted on Monday, correct?

Mr. Mitchell stated, voted on Monday —

Ms. Larison replied, okay.

Mr. Mitchell stated, hopefully. If there's a consensus to advance this.

Ms. Smith asked, you want this to stand as is?

Mr. Mitchell stated, I'll revise it, recirculate it and we'll put it on the agenda for Monday.

Mr. Yager replied, okay.

Ms. Smith replied, okay.

Mr. Mitchell stated, alright.

Ms. Dreisbach asked, if that doesn't pass, then what happens?

- Ms. Smith replied, God only knows.
- Mr. Mitchell stated, (inaudible) will be up to you guys to decide.
- Mr. Schaffer stated, I do appreciate the opportunity to speak tonight, I do.
- Mr. Mitchell replied, thanks Jim.
- Ms. Smith replied, thank you for coming out.
- Mr. Schaffer replied, okay.

Mr. Mitchell stated, believe it or not, I'm not done. Next we wanted to hear from the Borough Engineer on the Resolution on your agenda to establish standards and road specifications and also to discuss MMA's road repaving partnership proposal from the last meeting. Good evening Mr. Schroeder.

Mr. Schroder stated, good evening. Good to see everyone. So, in your packet we provided a set of standards and specifications that we've utilized before, they're pretty much borrowed from pretty closely to what the state uses what PennDOT uses for any kind of construction that occurs in the Borough, so these standards would apply to our public roads and also if there are private developers that come in to construct new roads or to do work within our existing roads. So these apply to any utility company or and developer and anyone who comes in for subdivision or land development. So it's a whole packet, it contains multiple pages of curbs, sidewalks, roads, road restoration and things of the sort. So we recommend that the Council consider adopting these standards by resolution so that they are they become the standard, they become enforceable and we can use them going forward and I know with the MMA they have some projects in motion right now so we may want to make an exception just to work through this years projects and then work with them going forward in future years to come up with a way to work their, incorporate their projects into these standards as well. We just recommend that they be adopted and there can be exceptions if things come up in the future.

Ms. Smith stated, we spoke about this Kurt, and you were saying that what this does is, once you do a road program and we invest that kind of money into it, a utility company or anyone else that would have to come out for some reason and open up that road, they're then responsible to make sure that they put it back to the standards that it was originally put in, correct?

Mr. Schroeder replied, yeah, that's absolutely correct, and doing a comprehensive road paving program if we decide to move forward with something like that and pave a substantial amount of roads throughout the Borough, then we would want to have this as a first step, put these on the books so that we preserve and protect that investment going forward, so some of the standards some of the highlights of these standards are, if we pave a road and someone, even if somebody wants to bring in new gas service to their existing home and they want to cut a new opening just to make the connection to a gas main, and bring a new gas lateral to their home, if we pave the road within 5 years, they would be required to use what's called an infrared patch repair, which is essentially instead of cutting an opening in the roadway and patching it with hot asphalt and then putting a tar seam joint around that patch, this is a

technology you come and you actually reheat the existing asphalt and it blends in the new asphalt so it's a seamless repair so it keeps from not just the unsightly look of the patch in the brand new road, but also eliminates that joint that could allow water to infiltrate into it and then cause that asphalt to be compromised from its life expectancy. So we've adopted these ordinances in other municipalities, I think they've been very successful, like I said they're not uncommon if PECO or AQUA or one of these utilities were to go on a state road and ask for a state permit to install within their right of ways it would be a very similar if not identical requirement. So, for instance, if they are running a utility trench down the roadway, instead of just restoring the utility trench within the limits of their excavated area it would be to at minimum mill and overlay the full travel lane width from center line to edge of pavement or if the utilities are in the center of the road or if there are a lot of laterals that extend on either side of that utility trench then they would to curb to curb. So it establishes just kind of like a rule book for how a road opening permit —

Ms. Smith stated, okay.

Mr. Schroeder stated, would — and kind of, it goes in like the fee schedule tonight like you're considering it's a similar type of something to get on the books, here's a road opening permit application, here are our standards and it keeps just — it's good timing to do it now considering that we're looking at trying to figure out how to pave roads that frankly haven't been paved for a very very long time.

Ms. Smith replied, correct.

Mr. Mitchell stated, Kurt, not to prolong this, but there's been a lot of talk about roads around here lately, could you give us for the new Council members general context of road conditions especially base and sub base —

Mr. Schroeder replied, sure.

Mr. Mitchell stated, on what we're dealing with here.

Mr. Schroeder stated, not unlike many things in an old Borough, the infrastructure is very old. So we're dealing with, Municipal Authority deals with old utility pipes, we're dealing with old technology of constructing roads, the curbs are old, the spec that these roads are built to usually there's not a lot of bituminous asphalt on the roadways, they do tend to have some of them — I mean every one is different it depends on when it was built and how it was built, but traditionally we find a couple of inches of asphalt and then most commonly in a Borough of this age are number fours and screens which is ballast with small stones mixed into it, it's pretty good road base, a lot of people say it's the only way to build a road, even today. But the roads in general haven't been paved in a very very long time, there hasn't been many full road resurfacing done unless there was a utility that was put in, so we looked at — we didn't go out and do a real careful review of the whole thing, but just in general we looked at what roads are needed, what percentage — we kind of just played broad numbers, what we think, let's say we estimated 80 or 90 percent of all the roads had to be resurfaced, we inventoried what the amount of square yards would be and what the cost based on a large scale comprehensive paving program would yield and it's probably just order magnitude we're looking at like 5 million dollars to mill and pave 80, 90 percent of the roads and that doesn't include doing any

curb work, it includes a percentage for doing base repair where there might be some soft spots underneath the road just to patch repair those areas and it seems like a lot of money, it seems like maybe too much to undertake in one shot, but a lot of municipalities are looking at doing that and have done that because the alternative is to do only a couple of roads each year and if you look at what the annual budget of what liquid fuels funding coming in the Borough is and you try to figure out how many roads you can do per year, you're only talking two or three roads and you're paying a premium cost because there's not a lot of efficiency in doing a small batch of roadways each year. And the scariest part is, if we do two or three roads per year which is what our annual budget allows, it would extend the time out to about 40 years before we're able to get to that last road that already hasn't been paved for 30, 40, 50 years, so we're still in a real good market right now for borrowing money, the bond market is decent there are banks that are competitive and it's something definitely, strongly to consider is trying to do a large scale. It doesn't have to be the whole thing, maybe it can be a substantial amount, but not the entire, but it really if you look at — the other thing we did was we applied — if you do two or three roads a year over 35 years and you apply a 3% cost escalator per year to the construction costs, that's an average kind of inflation cost, it ends up costing nine and a half million dollars by the time you're done, that's future cost compared to present cost, but it's still - there's some sense in doing a large scale paving program in one year maybe two years. We don't recommend doing it this year of course, because there's some good planning that has to occur and we also want to take the time to notify the utility companies so that we're not paving a road and then they say well we have these mains we want to replace next year, now we have to pave the whole road because of bad planning. We want to get notices out to the utilities if we move forward in this direction and find out what their projects are that they're planning and perhaps work with them because we've had good success too where if they're on the hook for paving half the road and they're doing a small quantity they may be better off just putting a base course in, leaving that sit for a period of time until we do a comprehensive paving program take a fee in lieu for what their half of the road would cost and we may end up getting 60%, 70% of the full road paid for with that economy scale for the — the numbers are terrific when you do that big of a project.

Ms. Smith replied, okay.

Mr. Mitchell asked, now can you and John speak to the microscopic project that we've been talking about?

Mr. Schroeder replied, yeah, I think this concept through and I'll let John speak —

Mr. Mitchell stated, from macro to micro.

Mr. Schroeder stated, this year these standards create a problem for John, John has a project and a pipeline, he's already put in mains and is looking to get some cooperation to pave those roads so I'll let John talk about the specifics of that.

Mr. Bowers stated, before you let John jump out, can I ask, what's our expected life on a road that we're going to pave?

Mr. Schroeder replied, that's a great question and it's not an easy one to answer, because all roads are different and there's always a scenario where you pave a road and you run a loaded

tri-axle on it before you pave it and the base is really tight and it looks like it's going to be a great road base and then you find that there's a ground water issue that creeps up from underneath the roadway and you couldn't have foreseen that that was there ahead of time so you might have some problem areas and some small isolated, but you look at the roads, they haven't been paved in how many years —

Ms. Larison stated, 10.

Mr. Schroeder stated, if not —

Ms. Larison stated, at least —

Mr. Schroeder stated, three times that, maybe 30 —

Ms. Larison stated, some of them.

Mr. Schroeder stated, and they're still there. They're not great, but you got 30 years out of it. You're not going to expect to get 30 years out of these roads. Maybe you'll get 20, 25 years, but some you might only get 8 years and it all depends on volume, it depends on what's beneath it, the base courses underneath it, it depends on whether there's ground water present or there's good drainage. All things that we really can't put a —

Mr. Bowers asked, is it not in our favor that we're a river town?

Mr. Schroeder replied, no I think it is. I think it is in some regards because usually get better drain soils underneath it, so you're not trapping a lot of ground water like you would if you have clay or rock or things like that.

Mr. Mitchell stated, Rich is dying to say something. Is this about roads?

Rich O'Brien stated, if you look at part three in the new fee schedule —

Mr. Mitchell stated, oh, not this again.

Rich O'Brien stated, (inaudible) street opening permits, and one of the upgrades we made to the fee schedule is that now no road opening permit can be issued without approval by the Borough Engineer requires a services agreement that pays the engineers time for review as well as inspection and also establishes an 18 month wait time for road repairs, so that is built into the fee schedule.

Ms. Larison stated, nice.

Ms. Smith stated, thank you.

Ms. Larison stated, thank you.

Mr. Warenda stated, don't scowl at me.

Mr. Mitchell stated, your the road cutter John.

Ms. Smith stated, John.

Ms. Larison stated, you're the one that likes to tear them up.

Mr. Warenda stated, yeah. I'm also the only guy that's paved a road in the last 20 years here.

Ms. Smith stated, this is true.

Mr. Mitchell stated true.

Ms. Larison stated, this is true.

Mr. Warenda stated, I think the last Borough road or street that was paved was McKinley Avenue I think. We've paved Harrison, we've paved Maple, West part of Maple, we've paved all of Moreau, part of Bank Street, we put curbings in Bank Street, curbs at South Harding, part of Woodland Avenue, and the project that I've spoken with Scott about and Kurt about is our Crown Street and Stockham Avenue water main project.

Ms. Larison stated, and you paved Clymer, don't forget about Clymer.

Mr. Warenda stated, we did Clymer, that's right we did Clymer and actually part of Cox Avenue as part of that when we put the 16" main in —

Ms. Smith stated, bless you.

Ms. Larison stated, yup.

Mr. Warenda stated, for the water tower, I forgot about that. Actually, there's a reason why it's important for you to know some of that, we actually have a lot of experience at this point with some of the Borough roads and streets. So there are some things I was concerned about when I looked at the proposed design standards, not that I think they're bad, and just for the record, let me say we support them. There's absolutely, I'm not here to suggest that you should not adopt those standards, you should adopt those standards. But just so I don't miss the two important asks or points that the MMA has, let me tell you what they both are right now. One is, that in the course of adopting these standards you insert a sentence somewhere in the actual standard that memorializes the discretion of the Borough Engineer to approve alternate plans or design standards for restoration of some of the existing streets. In particular, we have already prepared a general profile for the restoration of Crown, Stockham and parts of East Maple, East Palmer, East Franklin that probably is — once it was explained to me, probably is slightly better then the milling overlay that would be provided for in these design specs, so I think we can work that out without any problem. But I just would like there going forward to be an assurance that we can get an approval from the Borough Engineer for something like that when the issue comes up. Bearing in mind that we're talking about streets that don't have any real stone sub base, that don't have any base bituminous material and in many instances don't even have more than two or three inches of existing asphalt on them to begin with. I just didn't want to be in a position where we were going to be building a new street in a situation where you're starting with almost a dirt road to begin with.

Mr. Mitchell stated, I don't think there will be an issue with the Solicitor building into the resolution that kind of a discretion for the Borough Engineer.

Mr. Warenda stated, it would be fairly simple and I'd be happy to talk with them about that before the next meeting. The other ask is that we at some point get a commitment from Borough Council on what we discussed with respect to the Crown Street and Stockham Avenue project, you'll recall that I had talked following a staff meeting briefly about that and following up with that I did in fact submit alternate sets of work papers, they're not really plans because I don't want to ask our Engineers to develop complete specifications for two different approaches to the same project without knowing what the Borough is willing to do. But we did provide copies of work papers for a Plan A and a Plan B that quantify somewhat conservatively and by conservatively I mean too much, high, on the high side what the likely cost of paving and some curb replacement that the MMA will be doing would cost and we've asked for the Borough to split the additional cost, the Delta in engineer speak of the better approach to it. If the Borough is willing and able to do that this year we can add another full block of Maple Avenue which is in pretty bad shape from Hillside down to Delmorr. We can do a full width repaying of the part of Hillside Avenue in which we have replaced water main and there are some other short runs of paving that we can replace. Now bear in mind that we are not asking for you to contribute the difference between the less expensive, it's not cheap, but the less expensive plan or the more expensive plan, we're asking you to kick in half of it so we're basically saying we'll match dollar for dollar the additional cost recognizing that some of that additional cost will be curbing materials which you can not spend liquid fuels tax on, we understand that, liquid fuels money. So with that in mind, we're only asking for contribution that would be attributed to the paving piece of the additional work.

Mr. Mitchell asked, and the cost estimate for that was — in the documents distributed to Council, I believe it was \$77,000.00

Mr. Warenda replied, that would be your—that would be your share. The difference between the Plan A and Plan B is about \$154,000.00, the less expensive plan—we're committed to spending \$400,000.00 basically as it is. The more expensive plan is about \$550,000.00. Now keep in mind, that's only the paving and restoration part of it. We've already spent \$800,000.00 on the piping on the water side of it, so the project for us when we are done is going to be about \$1,200,000.00. So we're spending about 1.2 million dollars, this is going to basically give you completely new infrastructure in about 6000 feet of streets.

Mr. Mitchell asked, so if Council is agreeable to this, it will be subject to pre-approval by PennDOT, because it would be our intention to use liquid fuels funds to pay for it.

Mr. Yager asked, and how much of the budget for the year would that be, approximately?

Mr. Mitchell asked, how much of the budget for the year would that be? Well, we had about \$140,000.00 that we were going to make available in uncommitted funds for road projects, so if we're postponing that with the intention of developing a comprehensive road repaving program as Mr. Schroeder indicated, then we have \$77,000.00 easily available in our current liquid fuels funds to partner with the Municipal Authority on this project.

Mr. Warenda stated, and I would argue Mike that you're — keep in mind that you're getting a benefit from those monies in this calendar year anyway, because we will be repaving over a mile of streets where we also will be doing some curb and base repairs, we're not just talking about throwing down a layer of tar here. I can't do the engineering speak for you as well as

Kurt could or Chris Hawley who met with us earlier this evening could, but we do test the base, we do essential base repairs, we do mill and grade all of the street. Most of this would be from curb to curb. So you would have essentially new streets in those parts of the Borough where we would put new water mains, so you will have something that you can show folks.

- Mr. Yager stated, thank you.
- Mr. Warenda stated, it's not without some benefit, some immediate benefit.
- Ms. Smith stated, okay. Thank you. Thank you, both very much.
- Mr. Warenda replied, thank you.
- Mr. Bowers stated, Kurt, can I ask what your thoughts on that are?
- Mr. Schroeder asked, on the MMA's project?
- Mr. Bowers replied, yeah.

Mr. Schroeder stated, I apologize, I thought I emailed, and I may have in my multiple power outages over the last — literally I would have 15 emails open and I would loose them all when the power went out. But the information, John had talked to us about their plan project previously and his engineer had reached out to us. I haven't had a chance to really other than tonight seeing their plan and getting out there and seeing the roads, I don't have a great answer for you tonight just because I got a lot of information tonight I haven't had a chance to go dig into it.

- Mr. Warenda stated, I can promise you he'll be a hundred percent for it.
- Ms. Smith stated, he's going to meet you in the parking lot afterwards and talk to you.
- Mr. Bowers asked, and John, when do you need our commitment by?
- Mr. Warenda replied, well sooner is better.
- Mr. Mitchell stated, Monday night.
- Mr. Warenda stated, Monday would be wonderful Justin, because the fact is that —
- Mr. Bowers stated, I get you have to go out to bid and —

Mr. Warenda stated, Joe Bradley, the water main contractors are done, all of the mains are in. All of the residential services have been connected, so the sooner we can do that, the sooner we can put bid specs together for the project. Primarily because we can't really spec the project in any finished way until we know which plan we are going to do Plan A or Plan B. Scott's got a pdf copy of those sets of plans if anybody wants them. I think you can —we don't have any problem —

- Mr. Mitchell stated, I think they were distributed.
- Ms. Smith stated, yeah, he sent them to everyones emails and they downloaded them.

Mr. Warenda stated, and just for the record, I'm not unmindful of the need not just to cooperate, of course we understand the notion of cooperation but given the experience we've had and by we, I'm talking about everybody in the MMA involved in these water main projects. We've been doing this for a long time. We've done, we're up to now about 22,000 feet of main replacements that we've done in the last 5 or 6 years, which includes for the most part, not in every case but for the most part, a lot of street repair as well. So, we've got a pretty decent track record of knowing what we're doing, I think. We can work with whatever approach you might have to doing street repairs and renovations. It's a chicken and egg problem, but we can work that out. We can work it out.

Ms. Smith stated, alright.

Mr. Mitchell stated, so Madame President, is there any objection to us including these two items on your agenda Monday night?

Ms. Smith stated, no, unless they change their mind in the parking lot.

Mr. Schroeder stated, I can look at it more carefully over the next couple of days and get with John and we can meet out on the site and just make sure we're all on the same page. Thank you.

Ms. Larison stated, thank you.

Ms. Smith stated, you can get that all information ready for Monday then? Okay. Alright. Thank you.

Mr. Mitchell stated, thank you.

Ms. Smith stated, thank you both very much.

Mr. Warenda replied, thank you.

Mr. Mitchell stated, and believe it or not, I'm still not finished.

Ms. Larison stated, oh my.

Ms. Kronnagel asked, I'm sorry, can I interrupt really quick before the engineer leaves? Sorry. We had the ordinance for the 4-way stop sign on Washington Street —

Mr. Mitchell stated, correct.

Ms. Kronnagel stated, can that be put on Monday, or is there a reason that's being held up?

Mr. Mitchell replied, yeah that has to go on your April agenda because it has to be advertised.

Ms. Kronnagel replied, got it.

Mr. Mitchell stated, we weren't able to meet the advertising requirements for this coming Monday.

Mr. Schroeder stated, and Scott, real quick, the two other resolutions, you want us to talk —

Mr. Mitchell replied, yes if you want to talk about them briefly because they are on the agenda for Monday night. The CDBG Grant applications.

Mr. Schroeder stated, yeah, I think we might have already discussed it previously, if there's any questions we can answer them, but there's two applications for CDBG funding for this year through the County. The one is for \$300,000.00 for the Williamson Park Playground, last year we received \$192,000.00, this years application is for another 300. We talked to CDBG, we don't have the option of deferring the first batch of money, so we will have to build half of the playground which is the smaller children's portion of the playground and then we'd be hopeful that we get this funding to do the toddler through 12 year old playground next year. The other application is for the continuation of the Summerseat property, former M. R. Reiter School and it's \$560,000.00 for the application and that includes reconstructing the perimeter concrete sidewalks around the entire block and doing ADA curb ramps at each of the intersections and curb, curb, sidewalk and curb ramps.

Mr. Mitchell stated, yeah, for those of you who were with us in the snow this morning, you may have noticed some of the sidewalks are like — look like a rollercoaster.

Ms. Smith stated, yeah, definelty would help with the bones of the park as you proceed with that. It definitely needs to be done up there, so thank you.

Mr. Bowers stated, before you leave, I have more comments on that one.

Mr. Mitchell stated, one more thing.

Mr. Bowers stated, I'm glad to see that your list of projects is growing, that your grants are starting to be a long list of projects. But for some of us who haven't been here on Council last year or the year before, is there some sort of plan in place for — what's phase 1? Is there any paperwork you can provide to us for —

Mr. Schroeder stated, I'm sorry, for the —

Mr. Bowers stated, for the Williamson Park. You have — you're going for Phase 2, I have no idea what Phase 1 is or what it looks like or anything like that.

Mr. Schroeder asked, for the playground?

Mr. Bowers stated, yes.

Mr. Schroeder stated, yeah, there are concept plans for the playground? There's not engineer drawings yet.

Mr. Bowers replied, okay. Could you just forward those on to Scott to send to us?

Mr. Schroeder replied, sure. Yeah, there's different types of equipment that's been sited by the landscape architect we can send to you.

Mr. Bowers stated, and let me see what else was here.

Ms. Larison stated, that was for — the Phase 1 was for the tot lot?

Mr. Schroeder stated, it's for the - I forget the - it's like 2 to 5, 2 to 5 year olds.

Ms. Larison replied, okay.

Mr. Schroeder stated, then there's 5 to 12 year olds. So we broke it down —

Ms. Larison asked, so Phase 1 is 2 to 5?

Mr. Schroeder replied, yes.

Mr. Mitchell stated, that's the portion that's already been awarded.

Mr. Schroeder replied, right.

Ms. Larison stated, and then the 300 that you're going for next is for the 5 to 12?

Mr. Schroeder replied, correct.

Mr. Bowers stated, and also on your report you had mentioned that the — originally planned roads to be resurfaced in 2008 will cost approximately \$480,000.00. Can we get a copy of whatever plan that was or anything, I don't have any paperwork on it?

Mr. Schroeder replied, sure that was a list that we had gotten from John from Public Works on a couple of the most critical roads that need paving. And just doing a quick quantity take off on the extent of those roads and just doing a mill and overlay with some base repair, the engineer's estimate came out around \$480,000. Which obviously we just talked about the current committed budget to paving yards was around \$140,000, so obviously we wouldn't be able to do just those high need roads.

Mr. Mitchell stated, B1.

Mr. Schroeder stated, B1.

Mr. Bowers stated, and your other items on here number 1 and 2, I'll just make it quick. I'd just like to see at some point a timeline on when you expect things to be moving along on the obstruction project, you know, when we can expect to see things, and it can shift every month as it gets updated or pushed back.

Mr. Schroeder stated, sure, with the Bridge Street project —

Mr. Bowers stated, and the levy.

Mr. Schroeder stated, we just had a big stakeholders meeting here with a lot of the different trail network groups, DCNR, the Friends of the Delaware Canal and so on and so forth, Pennsylvania Environmental Council of course they're helping sponsor the project. That project is still going through its PennDOT gauntlet it takes literally years to get it through that and it's at the mercy of PennDOT. We'll submit something, we'll get feedback back three months later, submit it back two weeks after and wait another three to five months. I think we've been waiting over a year for the environmental review and there's just nothing that we

can do to advance that any quicker, but we're still hoping next year it can actually be put out for construction. Again, at the mercy of PennDOT, they bid it.

Mr. Bowers asked, we have a grant in line to pay for the construction?

Mr. Schroeder replied, yeah. Actually, the circuit trails, I forget — there's a specific line item in the TIP, which is the Transportation Improvement Program that's DVRPC's big funding bucket, and there's money —

Mr. Mitchell replied, I just gave you something on that.

Mr. Schroeder stated, set aside that they believe they can get this project fully funded with.

Mr. Bowers stated, and how about the levy, is there any work being done on the — you got \$140,000.00 grant for — to start the study, have we started doing any work on that yet?

Mr. Schroeder replied, we have, we haven't really dug in. That's for a couple of reasons, one is because we don't want to start chewing through that money without having a very very defined path forward and FEMA actually, we didn't realize this, we were fortunate enough to catch wind of this, they are working on releasing, they are supposed to be releasing in February — we haven't heard if they have yet, but the whole levy approval accreditation program like a guide book on how to go through that process we want to start off by following that program once it comes out and we're actually going to recommend that the Borough bring on (inaudible) a special consultant to assist us and we've experienced working with this individual, she really did all of FEMA's maps, she helped write this program so we think she could help shepherd this even more effectively then we can. We can still do a lot of the support work, survey work, geo tech work, things like that, but we're in discussions with her to get her engaged to help us out. She works for a large firm, locally.

Mr. Mitchell stated, on a positive note though, we do have a —

Mr. Schroeder stated, she was with Dewberry, she just left, she's now with D & M Associates in Philadelphia.

Mr. Mitchell stated, we do have the U.S. Army Corp. of Engineers coming out this summer to look at the levy with us.

Mr. Schroeder stated, yeah, we've been in discussion with the Army Corp., with DEP and they actually were aware of the grant, when I called to tell them that we got the grant, they were like oh yeah, I heard you guys got the grant. So these guys, there's a lot of agencies and a lot of elected officials, there's a lot of eyes on the levy and the effort to get it accredited. So I think we've gotten, finally — it takes a long time for these things to come together, the funding, getting enough community, agency support and all, but as far as just driving forward with the study, we're starting, we've started, but not in any real aggressive fashion because we really want to make sure we don't burn through a lot of money and not have a lot to show for it.

Ms. Smith stated, correct. Okay, anything else for the engineer from anyone? Okay, we're good. Scott, you done with him?

Mr. Mitchell replied, I'm done with him.

Ms. Smith stated, okay.

Mr. Mitchell stated, I've had enough of him for one day.

Ms. Smith stated, thanks Kurt. I appreciate it.

Mr. Mitchell stated, thanks Kurt. But actually I'm not finished. If we could have the Police Chief, the Chief wants to talk to us about an application we received for Williamson Park from Resurrected Life of Evangelistic Church for the 2018 Spring Carnival. He has some concerns about it. Chief.

Chief McClay stated, good evening Council. The carnival over the last four years since I've been here is a disaster waiting to happen. I ask you not to vote, or vote negative for it. We have to supply police officers, two, four — last year I had to call in mutual aide because I had threats on the phone that the gangs are bringing guns over, so I had to bring two dogs in as well as six other police officers. That's not the kind of event we want to have here in our Borough. I worked it two nights. The crowd that comes is not our Morrisville residents. So I do not see any upside to it. But I will leave that to your decision but I do not support having that carnival here. We will do everything to make everybody safe and we will supply the man power, but this year if they are going to have it I'm going to double the manpower that we had last year and that's going to be a lot of money on their up front cost, but that's the only way. And you always got to remember about the back end, something happens, no matter how much security we give to this carnival, we're still ultimately responsible that if someone does get hurt, we're responsible for it and will see it in a future law suit. So please consider that when you're thinking about whether we want to have this event here in our park. Okay?

Ms. Smith stated, if I could say, I have to agree 100%, when I saw that come through the memo, we talked about it last year some of the issues that were — happened and the previous year, it's one of the reasons that the Rotary finally phased theirs out because of the problems it was causing, residents were complaining, the police officers I know were tasked to be down there handling that stuff. I don't know if it gives a positive thing for the Borough. It think it causes more problems then is worth doing it. Not anything against the Church, I just think that it's not something that seems to be working down there for the park and for the police department. I think it puts a lot of stress on the department to have to handle that. And I'm not the only Council that has mentioned it before, so I actually was going to bring this up for discussion myself on my concerns — very much on the same path as you so I'm glad you mentioned something about it.

Mr. Yager stated, I have to agree. I was actually a pretty loud voice with the Rotary, that's why the Rotary doesn't support it anymore.

Mr. Mitchell asked, is there a consensus of the Council to pull this from the agenda and have the Management deny it or would you like to vote on it on Monday night?

Ms. Smith stated, I would like to ask consensus of the Council. First if anybody would like to discuss it other than me and Mike weighing in if you would like to say something about it, please do at this time and we can —

Mr. Yager stated, and by the way, I love carnivals.

Ms. Smith stated, yeah.

Mr. Yager stated, it's just a bad idea.

Ms. Smith stated, yeah.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, I took my grandchildren several times, years before, but last year everyone I talked to said they weren't going down there, any resident in the Borough they said they're not taking their children down there, so I really don't think it would behoove us to approve it, because it's not going to be the residents going there.

Ms. Smith stated, anyone else have any comment about it?

Mr. Bowers stated, I'm in agreeance with everyone else.

Ms. Smith stated, agree. How about if we have a consensus just pulling this from the agenda and the Manager can send the message back or a letter back to the Church with —

Ms. Dreisbach stated, I'm fine with that.

Ms. Smith stated, or our decision, so if I could have a approval unanimously from everyone. Yeah —

Mr. Yager stated, I would like it pulled.

Ms. Smith stated, yes to pull it. Any nays against it? Okay. Then I agree, Council will have it removed. Thank you Chief for speaking about that.

Mr. Mitchell stated, well, there's one more thing. The Chief asked and we recirculated information about police vehicles a couple of weeks ago. Actually I believe it was Mr. Parker who is not here tonight who had asked for inventory of the condition and status of the police fleet. The Chief provided that. I think the way we left it at the last agenda meeting was that Council wasn't saying no but we wanted to wait maybe until the fall, but I think the Chief would like to revisit the issue.

Chief McClay stated, yes I would, thank you. Just to state that I'm asking you to vote for the financing for these new vehicles, knowing that these vehicles are not a luxury for these officers. This is essential equipment for these officers. This is their office for 12 hours. It's essential equipment and also something that was spoken about by your engineer was that we have a very good rate on this at 4.24%. That rate will be going up in March, okay. They've already warned me of that, so this is the rate that I have now is only locked into March 31st, okay. It's in the budget. It was talked about at length back in November when we did the budget and this was what was planned for to do with that money was to buy new vehicles and continue keeping the fleet new. So with that, I just want to re-emphasize this is essential

equipment for these officers not a luxury item. So I ask you to please vote for the equipment that these officers need. Thank you.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, Chief, if you get the three vehicles, if you're approved to get the three vehicles this year, how will you be handling it next year and the year after that?

Chief McClay stated, well, at that point we will have three brand new vehicles that are all under warranty and then we still have —

Ms. Driesbach asked, will you go back to buying one a year, or how will you be handling that?

Chief McClay stated, I didn't follow — we have brand new vehicles, plus the vehicles that I have now will have 37, 30, 40,000 miles on them, so I've got a lot of miles left on those cars and I'm getting rid of the older cars, the 2007, the 2008, the ones that have 170,000 miles that are out there on these roads. These roads are killing my cars.

Ms. Driesbach stated, you're replacing them with the newer ones that you want to buy?

Chief McClay replied, yes. So I'm getting rid of cars, I'm trading them in and that was in the plan that they're being traded in.

Mr. Bowers stated, I think what she's getting at Chief is, your plan is every three years you're buying three cars, you're not going to have any

Chief McClay stated, no.

Mr. Bowers stated, as of right now, you're not going to have any cars next year or the year after?

Chief McClay stated, three years, we're coming back with the same thing, like we did three years ago. You won't hear anything about vehicles again for three more years —

Ms. Dreisbach stated, yeah.

Chief McClay stated, and in three more years I'll say, I'd like to buy three more.

Mr. Bowers stated, but every year we'll have budgeted \$40,000.00 because we'll have to be paying the loan on it.

Chief McClay replied, correct, like we did for the last three years.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, okay, that's what I was asking, yeah.

Chief McClay stated, yeah, and you guys do that every year anyway.

Ms. Dreisbach replied, I know.

Chief McClay stated, you put \$40,000.00 in the line item for that. So this isn't nothing you know, that's unexpected, it's your cost of doing business with the Police Department. And it's the most efficient way to do it to have three at a time as compared to just doing one, then

you're coming back every time, so it is the most efficient way and these officers deserve to have good equipment that they work with.

Ms. Smith stated, I think for me one of the biggest issues we need — maybe this next budget to straighten out is, maybe the other Managers ran it a little bit differently, I think as courtesy to the Council who handles the budget, our new Finance Director that's helping us straighten things out, our new Manager is that we have the budget, the revenues aren't in yet and that was our thing with this was that instead of buying them in January before any of that money comes in even though it's in that budget we prefer that to be at later part of the year. It's just something we're trying to transition from where you just want to order the cars now. It's not like it's was a dire emergency right now at this moment, that's the difference between what we're saying is that you're saying —

Chief McClay asked, what's your advantage of waiting three months? You're going to say, we're not going to have the money in the budget.

Ms. Smith stated, we're saying, we're just saying, no. What we're saying is most times with most municipalities what we're trying to get at doing is our big purchase like that even on our end on the Borough's side for heavy equipment, trucks, anything like that, would be at the later part of the year, not in the beginning. Most municipalities don't do that in the beginning of the year, they have their budget set up but not January 1st they're ordering heavy equipment or cars and stuff, they wait until half way through the year to do that. That's the only thing we were saying was the difference —

Chief McClay stated, okay, well mame, mame, I did explain that at length when this first payment was going to be due.

Mr. Mitchell stated, it would be May I think you said at the last meeting.

Chief McClay stated, in May, we're five months into the year at that point and that's why we did it that way because the tax dollars come in starting in April, so I realize that and that's why we set it up the way we did. But if I continue to wait until October like I have every other year, come October I get cut off at my knees and told I can't spend another dime and by that point, at least two or three of these cars are going to be dead.

Ms. Smith asked, by October?

Chief McClay stated, well, I've got one now that we're deciding whether we're going to send down to the shop now, the one with 175,000 miles on it.

Ms. Smith asked, that's one of the ones you're getting rid of? The ones that actually, that I saw from the list that the main newer ones are all —

Chief McClay replied, they're fine.

Ms. Smith stated, oh, okay.

Chief McClay stated, they're fine, but do you also realize that if I'm running those and I'm not running some of the older cars at the same time, those miles are just going to run up. There is

a plan involved in this all, that you circulate the vehicles. Your officers have cars that they use and if they don't use that they have another one that they are assigned to, so that's how we — we didn't just run three brand new cars and just run the miles all the way up. We're still using some of the older cars and that's how we are able to keep the fleet in pretty good shape. The fleets in decent shape right now.

Mr. Mitchell stated, you're trying to disburse the miles among the whole fleet.

Chief McClay replied, right, and that's what we do. But like I explained, the expedition, it's on it's last legs. The Crown Vic is on its last legs. It's missing, it's doing all kinds of things, we're not sending it down to the shop to get fixed hoping not to throw good money at bad projects.

Mr. Mitchell stated, regardless of what Council decides, are we going to move away from rearwheel drive —

Chief McClay stated, absolutely.

Mr. Mitchell stated, vehicles and go all wheel? Okay, good.

Chief McClay stated, Scott, they don't even sell them any more.

Mr. Mitchell replied, good, good.

Chief McClay stated, you can't buy them.

Mr. Mitchell replied, good, even better.

Chief McClay stated, it's either the Taurus, which I'll never buy another Taurus again, or your explorers that we can use out in the snow like we did the other night.

Ms. Smith stated, okay.

Chief McClay stated, okay, thank you.

Mr. Mitchell stated, thanks Chief. I'm sorry, but I'm still not done.

Ms. Smith asked, one more thing?

Mr. Mitchell replied, one more thing.

Ms. Smith stated, now you're adjusting too long.

Mr. Mitchell stated, yeah I know. Literally. We've been discussing back and forth a number of housekeeping things with Council like maybe making the meetings start at 7:00 instead of 7:30.

Ms. Smith stated, correct.

Mr. Mitchell stated, maybe one meeting a month versus two. Maybe eliminating or doing some of the reports in writing in your packets instead of verbally at the meeting.

Ms. Smith replied, yes.

Mr. Mitchell stated, unless there is a question. And whether or whether not you would wish to reaffirm a prior decision on the minutes and stick with summary minutes versus verbatim minutes which are much shorter since these meetings are recorded.

Ms. Smith stated, correct.

Mr. Mitchell stated, but now that we're in a public setting, can we make some decisions on these things?

Ms. Smith stated, yes. I had spoke to you as far as the minutes tonight that I wanted to put a motion on the agenda for that to actually reaffirm a decision that was made by Council by a motion several years ago and has just been going back and forth and not implemented and you weren't here for that so for you and for the benefit of the staff, I also spoke with Virginia about this it would be a blessing for her to be able to get these down to a summarization like the Council originally wanted it to be instead of these novels that are turning out to be 46 and 48 pages long. So we want to put a vote up for that to just reaffirm something that the Council had already voted on before so that it makes it official, this is the way it will be, not back and forth, it will be a summarization of those minutes and what's legal to be on there also for your votes and who votes that by the Sunshine Law, but everything else would be a summarization to cut that down. Okay?

Mr. Mitchell stated, so we're good on that one. What about the 7PM start time versus 7:30?

Mr. Yager stated, if I, can I jump in, do you mind? So Scott, I'm fine with all of the items you listed, except the one meeting a month and here's why, we're over two hours into this meeting just talking about the next meeting.

Mr. Mitchell replied, yes, I know.

Mr. Yager stated, my only concern is that that one meeting a month turns into a 10 hour meeting.

Mr. Mitchell replied, right.

Mr. Yager stated, so until — for me, I'd be okay with all the bullet points except that one until this is like a 20 minute hey, we're good, let's go, let's do it and then I would consider that, but right now I don't see it being — I just don't see how it would work.

Mr. Mitchell stated, so maybe in a couple of months time you'd be alright with that.

Mr. Yager replied, yeah, because that's the only point that I would have concern with.

Ms. Larison stated, our agenda meetings get shorter then four hours.

Mr. Yager stated, we'd have to work on that. We have to figure out how to do that guys, because this is —

Ms. Smith stated, right.

Mr. Yager stated, again over two hours and we're just talking about the next meeting.

Ms. Smith replied, exactly, so we -

Ms. Larison stated, and we're still on your report.

Mr. Mitchell stated, I know.

Mr. Yager stated, yes.

Ms. Smith stated, Scott.

Mr. Mitchell stated, so, 7:00, starting in April?

Ms. Smith asked, everyone okay with that? Anyone not okay?

Mr. Mitchell stated, I have to tell you Madame President, the only person I haven't heard from on this issue is Councilman Parker, so —

Ms. Smith replied, okay.

Mr. Mitchell stated, and I know he travels a distance so can we check with him —

Ms. Smith replied, sure.

Ms. Larison stated, yeah.

Mr. Mitchell stated, before we make it final.

Ms. Smith replied, sure, absolutely.

Mr. Mitchell stated, okay, other than that, April there's local Government Week, so rejoice in the streets.

Ms. Smith stated, okay.

Mr. Mitchell stated, we'll be talking to your Junior Councilperson about what can be done to honor local government week. Unless you have any other questions for me, I think I'm happily finished.

Ms. Smith stated, okay.

Ms. Kronnagel stated, apologies if I can break in, I'm so sorry. I will try to be brief. Let's hope this is brief. I was going to wait until the end but I figured since it's was a request for the Borough Manager this might be the time for it so I apologize if this is out of order. Just since the County and specifically Michael Roedig, he worked, they worked so hard on the downtown plan and revitalization overall, I wanted to get Council's request to direct the Borough Manager to start communication with the Bridge Commission to either aquire/take over or take control over that property that's located at the southend of our park. It's located along Bridge Street, it's just a slab of property that the Bridge Commission owns and I think that they would be willing to part with it. So I just wanted to see if we could direct the Borough Manager to just open up those doors of communication.

Mr. Yager stated, I'm good with that, when I looked at it, it was titled to them in the 90's, I think we sold it to them for about \$8,000.00.

Mr. Mitchell stated, I actually have a meeting coming up with the Executive Director of the Bridge Commission, so I can approach it with him then if there's no objection.

Ms. Smith stated, no, I think that's a good idea. Very good. Thank you Corryn.

Ms. Kronnagel replied, thank you.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, okay, I have two things for the Borough Manager. Scott, trash collection, I understand you had a meeting with them yesterday.

Mr. Mitchell replied, yes. News travels fast.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, trash that wasn't collected is what I'm speaking of. I understand that they wouldn't go down the streets with low wires.

Mr. Mitchell stated, there are still I believe two streets in the Borough that have issues with low wires so they will not take the truck through. And I know there were a couple of locations where cans were not close enough to the street and/or were impeded by a parked vehicle and they weren't able to get to those.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, okay, because someone on Center said there's no low hanging wires there so perhaps that was the issue with the car, but on Harrison Avenue, I know they did the other three blocks, but the first block they didn't collect and I don't know if that was because of a wire or not, but —

Mr. Mitchell stated, okay, give me the names of the streets and I'll —

Ms. Dreisbach stated, Harrison —

Mr. Mitchell replied, Harrison.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, yeah, the first block, and Center.

Mr. Mitchell replied, okay.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, they're the only two that I have the names of right now.

Mr. Mitchell replied, okay.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, if I get any more I'll let you know.

Mr. Mitchell stated, yeah, shoot me an email, but I'll speak to the contractor tomorrow about that.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, because I know there was a trash truck, it might not have been ours, it did go down our street yesterday and the two low hanging wires by the row houses aren't affected.

Mr. Mitchell replied, okay.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, so, we don't want them to not come until the wires are fixed because that may take another month, in the meanwhile trash will be building up. And one other issue.

Mr. Mitchell stated, one more thing.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, I have, yes. We all know they are going to start Friday on Route 1 with the 32 ramp.

Ms. Smith replied, yes.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, alright, so what they're going to do from my understanding is block off the exit from 13 going north. They're going to have it open but it's just going to be able to go straight, north on Route 1, not go, not exit on to 32. So in that case, we're going to be having a lot of vehicles coming off of South Pennsylvania, on to South Pennsylvania Avenue and they're going to be at that light that's flashing. When they do stop them from coming on 32, is there any way we can have an officer there for a day or two maybe to monitor that traffic or do something and can we have the Bridge Commission turn the light back on, because I think they're either going to be coming and making lefts —

Mr. Mitchell stated, actually that's our traffic light.

Ms. Dreisbach replied, okay. They're either going to come down that ramp and make a left on to South Pennsylvania Avenue coming towards town or they're going to be going across and going back up on to Route 1, so it's going to be crazy.

Mr. Mitchell stated, I asked the engineer to look at that and we will speak with PennDOT. For some reason, when the pre-con meetings with PennDOT took place on this project, no one from Morrisville Borough —

Ms. Dreisbach stated, they weren't involved, yeah.

Mr. Mitchell stated, was there —

Ms. Dreisbach stated, and we're going to be the ones that are affected.

Mr. Mitchell stated. I'm told we were invited.

Ms. Dreisbach replied, okay.

Mr. Mitchell stated, so I'm not sure what happened there but we can certainly speak with the Chief and see if the police can assist with traffic control, and yes, the engineer is looking at what should be done with that traffic light —

Ms. Dreisbach stated, yeah.

Mr. Mitchell stated, given the circumstances.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, because you know the issues we already have with the trucks, we don't need more, because that's mainly trucks that come down off of that 13 ramp.

- Ms. Smith stated, yeah, you're going to be inundated.
- Ms. Dreisbach stated, it's going to be one big mess and I'm not looking forward to it.
- Ms. Smith stated, yeah and we did have I recently saw that one of the trucks lost one of it's containers actually down there.
- Ms. Dreisbach replied, lost its rear wheels and the container under the bridge.
- Ms. Smith asked, the same place that the tractor trailer lost its load last year, lost those tubes —
- Ms. Dreisbach stated, yeah, lost the load under the bridge.
- Ms. Smith stated, on the sidewalk area, correct? So it's getting a little —
- Ms. Dreisbach stated, it's a big issue.
- Ms. Smith replied, yes.
- Ms. Dreisbach stated, and there's a very big pothole on Philadelphia Avenue when you turn off of Pennsylvania Avenue on to West Philadelphia.
- Ms. Smith replied, okay.
- Ms. Dreisbach stated, and just filling it with cold patch does not work, it goes all the way across the road. I'm actually avoiding it, I don't go down Philadelphia Avenue anymore to go to my house, I go to Cleveland and around just to avoid Philadelphia Avenue.
- Ms. Smith replied okay.
- Ms. Dreisbach stated, and with the trucks it's just getting worse.
- Ms. Smith stated, alright. Okay, is that it for the Borough Manager at this point?
- Mr. Mitchell replied, yes, thank goodness.
- Ms. Smith stated, everyone's done, not one more thing?
- Mr. Mitchell stated, I need a water.
- Ms. Smith stated, okay, then we'll just get down to what our action items are here. 9A would be authorization to permit the Friends of the Morrisville Dog Park to hold an Easter Egg Hunt event on Sunday, March 25, 2018, at the Morrisville Dog Park.
- Ms. Larison asked, is this for dogs?
- Ms. Smith stated, you all got this in your packet.
- Ms. Larison asked, dog egg hunt?
- Ms. Smith stated, I guess Justin can speak about this, correct?

Mr. Bowers stated, it's a dog egg hunt actually being done in conjunction with House Paws Veterinarian Service. They're basically running it, they've been working with us — the Dog Park and it's open to the public and the dogs.

Ms. Smith asked, is — the dogs go get the eggs?

Mr. Bowers stated, yeah, I guess they put eggs down with numbers in it and the dog goes up and sniffs it you pick up the egg and you get the number and go get a treat or there's a treat inside or you get a prize at the prize table.

Ms. Smith stated, well I know that the parade that you guys had for Halloween was just a hoot and a lot of people showed up for that.

Ms. Larison stated, that was a lot of fun.

Ms. Smith stated, and got a lot of really nice pictures. It was pretty amazing and adorable, you wouldn't have thought that but it was lots of fun, so — we'll have to come down there and see the eggs — I know what my dog would do with those eggs, just saying. Okay, the next one is 9B authorization to permit the Girl Scout Colorfun 5k Run and Walk and Colorfest party in Williamson Park on Sunday, May 20, 2018, pending final details and all approvals. They've been doing this for quite a few years now.

Ms. Larison stated, yeah, I think this is their third year doing it.

Ms. Smith stated, yeah.

Ms. Larison stated, yeah.

Ms. Smith stated, fourth year, yeah I always see the pictures go up on Facebook, it's pretty funny when they all start coming out with all the colors at the end. Okay, we removed 9C, so the next one I guess would be then 9C instead of 9D. I'm just going to run through these since we've been going through them already. These resolutions were already spoken about by our engineer, so I'm not going to go over them again, M. R. Reiter one, the specifications and design standards, Keystone Municipal Services for the resolution that they need for the fee schedule. The only other one is the last one is 9H, authorization to enter into legal representation to take action in the Opioid Crisis, which was spoken about tonight. So, does anyone have anything they wish to say about that? It's going to go on. Everyone's okay with that? No more questions? Alright.

Mr. Mitchell stated, if Council is sufficiently comfortable, I'd like to let the Borough Engineer and the Code Enforcement Officer know that they don't need to attend Monday nights meeting.

Ms. Smith replied, okay.

Mr. Yager stated, I'm good.

Ms. Smith stated, good.

Mr. Mitchell stated, okay.

Ms. Smith stated, they're saying thank you. Okay, under, let's make sure I get this straight, because I have some added — things for the agenda, so I'll just let everybody know I did speak with the Solicitor before the meeting to let him know about this also. Under unfinished business, 10A is the appointment of the new second ward Councilperson filling the vacancy left by the resignation of Bill Pepitone. After appointment, the individual will complete required paperwork and be sworn in. So I will just say that we will, well the Borough Manger will have here blank copies of the residency and the notarization form that they'll need, so as that person gets voted in, they can then fill out the paperwork, the Mayor can swear someone in as well as our Borough Manager is a licensed notary can also do it. I believe Joan's even a licensed notary also, so that's several that are here the night of the meeting unless someone else is here that would like to do it for whoever that appears to be, but we thought we'd offer that and let them know that the paperwork you would need, you don't have to run out and get it, the blanks are here and you can fill them out right after that vote comes down. The only thing I was going to say with this because I'm adding another motion to this. Would you like the other motion to come before this one for Mr. Bill Peptione under new business or no?

Mr. Mitchell stated, no, no, go ahead, read us the next one that you wish to add.

Ms. Smith stated, okay. Under 10B, because this is unfinished business is to rescind the resolution for the Arts and Events Committee, number 808. This was something that was spoke about back — about a month or so ago when we were talking about the committees and condensing some of those that weren't being used and this was one of the ones when we took the Recreation Advisory Board and brought it out of suspension, that's been since 2012 to make that active and Arts and Events can just run through that which it did before this was created, because it wasn't being used with all the members that it needed, so I had got —

Mr. Mitchell asked, you said 808?

Ms. Smith replied, yeah, 808. I have a copy of it here also. So I actually did contact PSAB just to make sure for myself how to do that, how to rescind it, so Shelly Houck did get back to me and gave the exact wording for it, so I'll give it to Scott, but it basically says that you will vote to rescind the original motion for that resolution 808.

Mr. Mitchell stated, it's not an ordinance so —

Ms. Smith stated, correct. So, that's how that would be done. And of course remind everyone that there are positions — I'll make sure everybody gets the bylaws for the Advisory Board for the Recreation. There are 7 members that are on that, our liaison for that for the Council is Eileen Driesbach. So those members though, when they organize, pick their own President, Vice President and their Secretary or their main chair if you want to call it and their Secretary, she is there only to break a tie if there is. She's not a voting body otherwise, she's our liaison or if it needs to form a quorum to have the meeting and that's in the bylaws, but I'll make sure that everybody gets those when they do apply and are appointed, okay, just so we'll put that out there.

Ms. Larison stated, just a quick question on that. Do we have any idea when we're going to start putting that up so people can send in their letter of interest?

Ms. Smith stated, I had asked Virginia, she was actually busy updating the stuff because of the fact that with Bill Pepitone leaving we now have to put whoever this new person on is in those other committees that he was on, there's two of them, he's on there.

Mr. Yager stated, it is on the website already.

Ms. Smith stated, yeah.

Mr. Yager stated, yeah.

Ms. Smith stated, Virginia was just working on it this past week. Correct, yeah, and I haven't looked myself, but the Recreation Advisory positions I think we were talking about putting them up either on the website as well as — yeah.

Ms. Larison stated, okay.

Ms. Smith stated, so once that's done — I know people have been asking about it, but they want to get their application in, and or their letter of interest, I'm sorry. And if anyone isn't out of that 7, believe me, there's plenty of things as a group they can do, please come to those meetings and join in, they can always pair off if they're working on several things so it would be nice to get some people working on that and do some things. Okay. I think that was it under the unfinished business, under new —

Mr. Mitchell asked, I'm sorry, was there a 10C?

Ms. Smith stated, no.

Mr. Mitchell stated, oh, okay, sorry.

Ms. Smith stated, no, 10A, 10B.

Mr. Mitchell replied, gotcha.

Ms. Smith stated, that was under the unfinished, under the new business though there was two, 11A would be the summary minutes, so we want to put a motion up for that.

Mr. Mitchell stated, motion to reaffirm prior decision —

Ms. Smith stated, yeah, I said reaffirm the motion previously made by Council to record the minutes, I'm sorry, the meeting minutes in a summary format instead of verbatim. And I can send that over to you Virginia if you want unless you want to word it however you know how to do that Scott. Just to make that so that it reaffirms, yeah permission and that's how that's going — yes, yes, and that will help you guys out hopefully, too.

Mr. Mitchell stated, tremendously, thank you.

Ms. Smith stated, okay. The other one, 11B, this came up today actually as we were at the demo for the park today. And the MEAC was there and as well as the Historic Society and of course a lot of other people our Council, our former Council, our Manager, Engineer, when we were talking about that actual park, one of the things that was talked about was the MEAC

back when this whole processes had first started we were talking about the history of that park and they had put out a name for the park as Patriot's Park, they kind of tossed it out and we were like wow that's a really cool name for the park. There hasn't been anybody that I know of that hasn't said that is an absolute great name for that park area. Now that we've actually gotten, we never actually formally done it because of the fact that we didn't own the land. So people have said okay, well how do you do that now, and I said, we didn't make settlement until December so here we all are now, they're all asking what's the time frame on it, we're just beginning, the buildings got to come down, it's got to be graded and as you can see with our engineer tonight, we're now starting the process, the bones, we're applying for to get some curbing and sidewalks and stuff around that. But anyway, when it comes to that name of the park I would like to put up — entertain a motion to actually formally as the engineer said, brand the park, so that as you start to apply for these applications and your grants, it shows that destination as what that is that you're doing there, especially that connection that it's historic and along side of Summerseat, even though they're separate owned entities. Mike, if you want to mention this because you brought this up to me.

Mr. Yager stated, sure. So actually, the first time I heard the name Patriot's Park was at an MEAC meeting too and I immediately said wow, that is fantastic, I love it, but I did a little research and there actually is a Patriot's Park out there and it's near White Plains New York. So the idea was, cause it's such a great name, is to extend it a little bit. Patriot's Park at Historic Summerseat, which would be it's own thing, there's nothing else out there that's called that and it would still be known as Patriot's Park. Thank you Justin Bowers by the way.

Ms. Smith stated, yeah. He through the historic part —

Mr. Mitchell stated, one more thing.

Ms. Smith stated, the engineer when I had mentioned it to him today about that, he thought it was a great name because of the fact that you're applying for your grants on the historic nature of that as well as that we are going to recognize M. R. Reiter being there and we are going to put a nice story plaque in that also says the history of what happened there in that design, we're working on that, so before we can even implement that as the Manager's been doing is finding prices on what it would cost to actually put one of those, the size that we would need and the information would be on there. Something has been completely done, there's been drafting of different things, but that's basically the idea, part of that grant is we have to put in a storybook of what was there and commemorate M. R. Reiter as well as Robert Morris High School that was originally there so that's what we plan to do just to let you all know that that's also a part that we would have done anyway because you want to definitely recognize it for its educational values as well as the history that it has. But anyway, what I'd like to do is for 11B is to put up the actual name of Patriot's Park at Historic Summerseat and actually brand that so that when we do our grants and we do the improvements for that they know the destination and to make that whole area part of that. I think it would also help to get grant money also when Summerseat is looking for it because they need to do their improvements and I know that Commissioner Loughery when he was speaking about it when he came out for this project in the very beginning, had said that he loved the idea of this whole historic area and that when we do the park they would love to be able to find other monies to help Summerseat at the same time that they can go for. So it's kind of a neat, nice little package to showcase that

whole thing. So, I would like to entertain that if the Council would like to for Monday, so if I have a consensus for doing that, is that okay and then we vote yeah or anyone?

Mr. Yager stated, put it on.

Mr. Mitchell stated, lock and load.

Ms. Smith stated, lock and load, just trying to move these things along. I think, yeah, I think that was it for me on the adding stuff.

Ms. Dreisbach asked, are we putting anything on the agenda for what John Warenda just asked for or are we not voting on that?

Ms. Smith stated, oh, the road thing?

Ms. Dreisbach replied, yeah.

Ms. Smith stated, the road thing.

Mr. Mitchell stated, yeah, we'll be adding an item, we'll be asking Council to authorize the Borough Manager to execute documents necessary to partner with the MMA on this road project subject to it being funded with liquid fuels funds and pre-approved by PennDOT.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, okay, and how about the Crew Leader salary?

Mr. Mitchell stated, I'm going to include that on as well.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, okay, thank you.

Mr. Mitchell replied, thank you.

Ms. Larison stated, uh oh, the Chief raised his hand.

Chief McClay stated, if I could excuse myself for a second. I - we talked about the cars but there was no motion as to whether - are you going to put that out to vote to Council?

Mr. Mitchell asked, what's Councils wishes about the vehicles?

Ms. Larison stated, (inaudible) the agenda.

Ms. Kronnagel stated, we should put it on the agenda.

Ms. Larison stated, yeah.

Mr. Mitchell stated, okay.

Chief McClay replied, thank you.

Mr. Mitchell stated, we will add that to the agenda Chief.

Ms. Smith stated, one last thing, I guess I could have mentioned this back when some of the things we're trying to change —

Ms. Larison stated, one more thing.

Ms. Smith stated, yeah, one more thing. My one more thing. One of the things that's happening is we're having these marathon meetings, they're running three, four hours, we're falling asleep, people are home saying where's my family. We need to cut this down, so one of the things that I talked to the Manager about that will be getting actually redone is we have a resolution, I have the number up here somewhere that is for the timeframe on public comment. Years ago it was done it was like 10 minutes, it doesn't need to be 10 minutes, so what we're going to do is we're going to redo that, most municipalities, even the school district I believe does three. It can always be extended if there's circumstances where something is crucial and we need to have a little more time for it, but I think it's time that we organize this a little bit better, the Manager is saying please organize a little better, this man here is saying please do this so we're not here for four hours.

Mr. Mitchell stated, we can always waive it if there's a compelling reason, you can always extend it.

Ms. Smith stated, exactly, exactly. So, it's just a slight change but that resolution is going to be amended to say that the official comment time for the public is at three minutes. If there is an issue where it needs to be extended then the Council President can make that decision to do that, it's not like someone can't do that and that will also be mentioned in there. I think that was the only thing on that. Oh, and the other thing to cut this stuff down, you're going to notice Scott was talking about doing with the reports — when I searched on a lot of the municipalities, he also said it too, is a lot of them are just written and handed in ones unless there's something that needs to be brought out where you're doing a presentation like from Keystone that came here to explain this analysis that they did. Most of them hand in a written report and it gets attached, we can make it where we were talking about eventually putting it up on the website so people will know what those reports are, you want to make sure everyone has it but to actually have everyone come out and read all the reports, it's a lot and you're now doing four, five meetings and it's a marathon meeting. So, with the time restraint we are trying to cut this down a little bit to make it move a little smoother, that's why you'll notice that the committee meeting minutes don't need to be talked about at that time because you also have the Borough Officials at the end. So rather then do that because most people will talk them both times, everyone knows they need to do their minutes, we've cut the committees down so there's not a constraint on people having too many committees or minutes they have to do, so please make sure your minutes get turned in and then whatever you need to talk about on your committee what you're doing with that can be done at the Borough Officials instead of doing it at this part and then at the end.

Mr. Yager stated, okay, Madame President.

Ms. Smith replied, yes.

Mr. Yager asked, all of them will be written, all the reports will be written?

Ms. Smith stated, yeah, I don't see why not unless like the Borough Manager if for instance if he's getting something from the engineer, or if he's getting some —

- Mr. Yager stated, that's fine.
- Ms. Smith stated, he can mention it if it's something that needs to be brought out.
- Mr. Yager stated, absolutely.
- Ms. Smith stated, it's the same thing, there's —
- Mr. Mitchell stated, I think we should Madame President, if you don't mind, I think we should probably leave the Mayor's Report and the Junior Councilperson's Report.
- Mr. Yager stated, yes.
- Ms. Smith stated, okay.
- Mr. Yager stated, yes.
- Mr. Mitchell stated, let them you know, if the Mayor is here or if the Junior Councilperson is here -
- Ms. Smith stated, okay.
- Mr. Mitchell stated, the others will be in writing, if there's a question about what was submitted in writing you can certainly raise a question.
- Ms. Smith stated, correct, correct. So we're going to try this new agenda to see if we can bring this down like one coffee.
- Mr. Yager stated, motion to close.
- Ms. Smith stated, so we yeah, when Scott had mentioned it, we said we would try this new agenda that he had used before in another municipality and hopefully that's going to make things run a little bit better, help the girls in the office also and we'll see how that goes. If it doesn't work we can make some changes but we're going to try to make that change, okay? I think I don't think there's anything else. Is there one more thing? Just oh, you do, no you don't.
- Mr. Bowers asked, it's do we have to have an executive session to discuss Mr. Mitchell's contract agreement?
- Mr. Mitchell replied, no.
- Ms. Smith asked, did he get it? Oh. Did he get it?
- Ms. Dreisbach stated, second.
- Mr. Mitchell stated, I did receive a draft from the Solicitor and I have not even read it yet.
- Ms. Smith replied, oh.
- Mr. Mitchell stated, and it has to be reviewed by my attorney anyway, so —

Ms. Smith replied, oh, okay.

Ms. Larison asked, so next month?

Mr. Mitchell stated, probably.

Ms. Larison stated, okay.

Ms. Smith stated, okay. Alright, is there anything else from anyone? Okay, so the Monday's meeting should run smoother, maybe a little quicker. Okay. Alright, we're going to try. We're going to try.

Mr. Yager stated, motion to close.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, second.

Ms. Smith stated, yeah, motion to close.

Ms. Dreisbach stated, second.

Ms. Larison stated, so moved.

Ms. Smith stated, so moved. All in favor?

Mr. Mitchell stated, thank you for your patience. Don't forget, if you want a brick, let me know. But you can't use it on me.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion to adjourn.

Motion made by Mr. Yager and seconded by Ms. Dreisbach.

Meeting adjourned.